#### MIKE BECOMES A WITNESS

Mike owed much to his local congregation. He had been one of Jehovah's Witnesses for only five years – yet those five years had changed his life!

Mike's high school years had been hard. As a result, he enlisted in the Marines immediately after graduation.

In the service, he discovered that he enjoyed mechanic work. After his military discharge, he enrolled in a community college automotive technology program. He earned good grades in school and was looking forward to starting his own shop.

He also met Sharon at the college. She was in the school's dental hygiene program. They were engaged at the end of the first year and married the following June after Mike finished his automotive training.

Their religious backgrounds were quite different—it was only after becoming Witnesses that they shared a common interest in their faith. Mike's parents had no affiliation with any religious organization. Sharon, however, had been raised differently. Her parents had taken her to church meetings twice each Sunday and to a prayer meeting on Wednesday evenings.

On the outside, everything seemed to be normal for Sharon during high school. Inwardly, however, she was rebelling. A few months after high school graduation, she found a surprisingly high-paying job. Against her parents' wishes, she moved into an apartment. For the next two years, Sharon lived a reckless life.

She knew then that she needed to change. Though she wanted nothing more to do with her parents' religion, she knew that God was not pleased with her life. In an attempt to start over again, she had enrolled in the community college dental hygiene program. It was there that she met Mike.

You have briefly met Mike and Sharon. In this study, you will follow Mike as he confronts some important issues in his faith. Watching Mike will help you to better understand your own faith, because the issues he considers as one of Jehovah's Witnesses are ones you might also wish to think about.

Mike is going to study an important question regarding the Tetragrammaton and its use in the Christian Greek Scriptures. In completing his study, Mike will need to use a number of study resources. Seeing how he formulates his questions and chooses reference materials will help you understand the importance of a Tetragrammaton study.

When we undertake any study, we must be certain that we use reliable information. Watching Mike secure information for his study of the Tetragrammaton will help you understand how you might pursue a similar study of Bible truth. All materials used in this study are publications of the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society or are referred to in their literature. (The only exception is the *New American Standard Bible*, which gives additional support to the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*'s footnotes.) However, our most important source of information for this study is God's precious Word. All Scripture quotations are from either the *New World Translation* or the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Two types of reference materials will be used in this study. The first will be materials published by the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society. These materials are readily available to you either through your congregation library or directly from the Watch Tower Society. The second will be materials recognized by the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society as primary sources for their written documents. These are less readily available because they are ancient manuscripts, library documents, and the like. In all cases, however, they are sources quoted by the Watch Tower Society as supporting documentation. In some cases, copies of these materials can be obtained directly from the Writing Department of the Watch Tower Society by special request. In other cases, they are available only through large or specialized libraries.

Mike and Sharon discussed religion very little before marriage. Mike knew that Sharon resented her "legalistic" church upbringing. He also knew it was a topic of conversation she preferred to avoid. Her avoidance of the subject pleased him. He had little interest in God becoming a part of his life.

They were engaged at the end of their first year of college. Marriage plans were made quickly because they were both alone. Mike didn't have a real home to return to, and, although Sharon's parents always welcomed her, she didn't want to spend much time with them. After she and Mike were engaged, there was a tension when they visited because of Mike's lack of religion. It was simpler to stay away!

They were married as soon as Mike finished school. Mike knew they were moving too quickly, but the plans had been made and it seemed too late to change. Early in his second year, Mike wanted to change majors to a four-year engineering program. Yet, because of his commitment to Sharon, they decided that he would finish his two-year course first. Later, after Sharon was working, he would enroll in an engineering program.

After graduation, Mike found a job as a mechanic in a dealership garage. What he intended to be a temporary job for the summer turned into a career. By the end of the summer, they knew that Sharon was expecting their first child. Mike was angry at his own disappointment, but he was careful never to say anything to Sharon. With a baby on the way, Sharon could no longer consider a dental hygienist job. Mike knew his plans for more school were impossible.

In the following years, Mike became a dependable dealership mechanic. Their son was followed by a daughter two years later. They looked like a normal young family.

But Mike's disappointment was growing. He felt limited because he was unable to get an engineering degree. At the very least, he wanted to start his own auto repair shop. Yet, because of unexpected medical bills and an unstable economy, even owning his own business had not been possible. As a result, the hostility between Mike and Sharon was becoming intense. Divorce was starting to look like a possibility.

It was at this point that two Witnesses came to their home. They left literature with Sharon, though she didn't show much interest. It was Mike who first began reading the *Watchtower*.

Mike was intrigued by what the *Watchtower* had to say. He was interested in the articles about the family. He could certainly see Sharon and himself in the descriptions of troubled homes. More importantly, however, he enjoyed the lessons about the Bible. He knew nothing about God's Word, and he wanted to know more.

In the following months, Mike and Sharon began to study the Bible with other Witnesses. They began to regularly attend Kingdom Hall meetings and soon became a part of the congregation. Mike discovered that he particularly enjoyed studying. He read a great deal of the material which was available to him through the Watch Tower Society. He participated enthusiastically in the Theocratic Ministry School.

In time, both Mike and Sharon were baptized and became actively involved in field service.

### MIKE'S TETRAGRAMMATON DILEMMA

MIKE was troubled. He enjoyed accompanying Elder Hanson on field service assignments. Mike was amazed at how well he could redirect indifference—and even hostility—into a profitable conversation.

Mike was sorry when this time of field service had come to an end.

Householders seldom had questions about the divine name in the Christian Greek Scriptures. Nonetheless, a few conversations about the subject had taken place. Twice in their time together, Brother Hanson made a statement regarding his personal faith and the Tetragrammaton itself.

The first time, Brother Hanson's statement sounded unusual. This morning it struck Mike with remarkable force. An apartment resident who was apparently a theology student challenged the presence of the Tetragrammaton in the Christian Greek Scriptures. Brother Hanson replied that his faith did not depend on whether or not the inspired writers of the Christian Greek Scriptures used the Tetragrammaton. (He explained, however, that he was certain that the Tetragrammaton was used in the original manuscripts.)

Mike was troubled. With growing awareness, he realized his faith *did* depend on the inspired Christian writers' use of the Tetragrammaton. The statement in his Reference Bible which says, "The foremost feature of this translation is the restoration of the divine name to its rightful place in the English text," was a summary statement of much that he held as truth. He knew that his unique faith as one of Jehovah's Witnesses was dependent on the use of Jehovah's name in the Christian Greek Scriptures.

We are familiar with the Watch Tower Society's teaching regarding the divine name. We are told that Jehovah's name—written as the Tetragrammaton—was used by the inspired Christian writers of the Christian Greek Scriptures. (The Tetragrammaton is written in Hebrew letters as hwhy.³) We are also told that because of heresy and changes made by the scribes who copied the Scriptures, the four Hebrew letters were changed to the Greek word Kyrios ⁴ which is translated as the English word "Lord." Is the presence of the Tetragrammaton in the original writings merely a question of passing interest for one of Jehovah's Witnesses, or is it of greater importance? Something of much greater significance is involved, for the presence of the Tetragrammaton in the original Greek manuscripts is the stated reason for restoring Jehovah's name to the Christian Greek Scriptures of the New World Translation.

Mike realized that his concern with the Tetragrammaton was also deeply personal. His relationship with Sharon's parents had been cool during their engagement. In the early years of their marriage, however, their relationship had changed. Sharon's father became the dad that Mike had never known. After their children were born, Sharon's folks made a great effort to be helpful and loving grandparents. Thankfully, there seemed to be a tacit agreement that they would avoid any further discussion of religion.

There were uncomfortable times after Mike and Sharon began studying with other Witnesses. Sharon's father said nothing, but Mike knew he was reading books written against them. On one occasion, a book about some supposed "Watchtower slavery" was conspicuously left in her parents' living room during a visit.

Three years earlier, however, the differences between them had became irreconcilable. That year, Mike and Sharon had decided to attend the Special Assembly Day rather than spending the Memorial Day holiday with Sharon's family. The following Saturday, Mike stopped by his in-laws' house to soothe their hurt feelings. Instead, Mike left abruptly after a

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The New World Translation of the Holy Scriptures Reference Edition, 1984, page 6.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  During Jesus' time, Hebrew was written using only consonants. Sometime after 400 C.E., a group of Jewish scholars called Masoretes added vowel points in order to standardize pronunciation. Therefore, during the time of the *Septuagint* and the early Christian era, the divine name contained only the Hebrew consonants without vowel markings, and was written hwhy. (The English phonetic equivalent is YHWH.) These four letters are called the Tetragrammaton. After vowel points were added, the name of God was written  $h/\dots hy$ ". (So as to avoid pronouncing the divine name, the Masoretes used the vowel points from *Adonai*, the Hebrew title for God.) The Tetragrammaton without vowel points is the form with which we are concerned in this study.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> In this study, we will frequently refer to the Greek word kuvrio". However, rather than using Greek letters, we will write it as Kyrios, using English letters. For a more complete discussion of the use of the Greek word Kyrios, see *The Divine Name That Will Endure Forever*, Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society, copyright 1984. Note especially the article starting on page 23, "God's Name and the 'New Testament." Also see *Insight on the Scriptures*, Volume 2, page 9.

heated argument arose. Sharon's younger brother was unexpectedly there and attacked Mike for "destroying Sharon's faith." The argument centered primarily on the Trinity doctrine.

After they discussed the events of that afternoon with the congregation Elders, Mike and Sharon were counseled to entirely break their relationship with both her parents and with her brother's family. Though it seemed necessary, it was a difficult decision to make. It was hard for Sharon. It was also hard for Mike because of his new friendship with Sharon's dad. And it was particularly painful when they had to explain to the children that they would not be seeing their grandparents anymore.

Now, three years later, Mike realized that the question of the Tetragrammaton was related to the same issue which had so painfully separated his family from Sharon's parents.

Mike decided to give the problem more study. Two years earlier, on the advice of the elders of his congregation, Mike had left his job as a dealership mechanic and had started his own business. It meant long hours and extra accounting work for Sharon, but the risk was finally paying off. With two fellow Witnesses as employees, Mike's auto repair shop was doing well enough that he could regularly take time off for field service as well as occasional family outings.

Mike was aware of the Watch Tower Society's encouragement to search for truth:

"We need to examine, not only what we personally believe, but also what is taught by any religious organization with which we may be associated...If we are lovers of the truth, there is nothing to fear from such an examination. It should be the sincere desire of every one of us to learn what God's will is for us, and then to do it.—John 8:32"<sup>5</sup>

On the following Tuesday, Mike took an extended lunch. He began with the question that had been raised the previous Saturday: "Does it make any difference if the original Christian Greek Scriptures used Jehovah's name?" The more he thought about the question, the more he realized how important it was.

Does it make any difference to you if the original Christian Greek Scriptures actually used the Tetragrammaton? Yes, it must! If you are one of Jehovah's Witnesses who follows the instruction of the Faithful and Discreet Slave, verification that Jehovah's name was written by the inspired Christian writers is *essential*. There are many reasons why this is so. In this brochure, we will consider only two of those reasons.

In the following weeks, Mike listed a number of reasons why his faith *required* substantial proof that the inspired Christian writers used the Tetragrammaton.<sup>6</sup> He decided to give two of these reasons special attention.

Mike understood the Jews' reverence for the divine name. Consequently, he understood that the writers of the Christian Greek Scriptures would not quote a passage which used Jehovah's name in the Hebrew Scriptures and then apply it to any being other than God himself. Mike identified the following as the first reason why his faith required substantial evidence that the inspired Christian writers used the Tetragrammaton:

(1) HEBREW SCRIPTURE REFERENCES DEPICTING JEHOVAH MUST DESCRIBE THE SAME DIVINE BEING WHEN QUOTED IN THE CHRISTIAN GREEK SCRIPTURES. Many passages from the Hebrew Scriptures which use the divine name are quoted by the inspired Christian writers. These verses typically draw attention to a quality of Jehovah or make a statement regarding his character. It would be blasphemy for the inspired Christian writers to quote a passage which described Jehovah in the Hebrew Scriptures and then apply it in the Christian Greek Scriptures to anyone but God himself.

Before we look at an example of a Greek Scripture writer who quoted a passage from the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The Truth that Leads to Eternal Life, Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society, copyright 1968, page 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Mike understood that the primary issue was the actual use of the Tetragrammaton by the inspired Christian writers rather than a precise verification of each of the 237 "Jehovah" references in the *New World Translation*.

Hebrew Scriptures, we need to define the term "blasphemy." *Insight on the Scriptures* says, "Blasphemy includes the act of claiming the attributes or prerogatives of God, or ascribing these to another person or thing."

Using Jehovah's holy name falsely is blasphemy and was met with serious consequences. (See Deuteronomy 5:11 and Leviticus 24:15-16.) The writers of the Christian Greek Scriptures knew this to be true. It would certainly be blasphemy if Jehovah's attributes were ascribed to any being other than God himself.

There are many examples of the inspired Christian writers quoting Hebrew Scripture passages in the Christian Greek Scriptures. Among these many verses, we can count 112 instances in which the divine name was either quoted or was at least a part of the context of the Hebrew Scripture passage.<sup>8</sup> The following verses illustrate one of these 112 examples. First, consider Isaiah 45:21-24 which says:

"Is it not I, Jehovah, besides whom there is no other God... By my own self I have sworn...that to me every knee will bend down, every tongue will swear, saying, 'Surely in Jehovah there are full righteousness and strength.'"

If the Apostle Paul used the Tetragrammaton in his quotation at Romans 14:11, then it would read as it does in the *New World Translation*:

"'As I live,' says Jehovah, 'to me every knee will bend down, and every tongue will make open acknowledgment to God.'"

On the other hand, if the Apostle Paul was referring to Jesus when he used the title Kyrios (Lord) (which is the wording found in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*), the verse would read:

"'As I live,' says the Lord (Jesus), 'to me every knee will bend down, and every tongue will make open acknowledgment to God.""<sup>9</sup>

It is necessary that we determine whether or not the Tetragrammaton was used by the Apostle Paul at the Romans 14 passage. If Paul himself used Jesus' title Kyrios (Lord), then Paul was either guilty of blasphemy, or, under the inspiration of God, he was identifying Jesus (Kyrios) with Jehovah.

We could examine a large number of these 112 passages and find a similar pattern.

Mike understood the importance of this first category. If the inspired Christian writers quoted passages from the Hebrew Scriptures which used the divine name, they could not use that verse to identify anyone but God himself in the Christian Greek Scriptures. It was of great importance to his faith as one of Jehovah's Witnesses that the inspired Christian writers used the Tetragrammaton in these verses.

Mike listed a second category which identified verses which described attributes of

 $^8$  See the summary at the end of the Appendix for this information. In some cases, the category into which a verse should be placed may be uncertain. For that reason, it is best to use the number 112 as a close approximation rather than as an absolute figure. The primary reference used to obtain this total was  $J^{20}$ , which is cited in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*. Additional references from the *New American Standard Bible* (NASB), Lockman Foundation, 1971, were used because they supplement the number of direct quotation references given by  $J^{20}$ . (That is, the NASB *increases* the number of allowable references over those given by the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See the entire discussion of "Blasphemy" in *Insight on the Scriptures*, Volume 1, beginning on page 337. This quotation is found on page 339.

¹ It is interesting to note that the same author (the Apostle Paul) quoted Isaiah 45:21-24 at Philippians 2:10-11: "So that in the name of Jesus every knee should bend...and every tongue should openly acknowledge that Jesus Christ is Kyrios (Lord) to the glory of God the Father." However, with the same wording and the same human author, the *New World Translation* renders Kyrios as *Lord* in one case, and as *Jehovah* (with added quotation marks) in the other. This introduces an interesting contradiction. If the Isaiah passage is read in context, it is very clear that Jehovah is saying, "Is it not I, Jehovah, besides whom there is no other God...There being none excepting me?...By my own self I have sworn... that to me every knee will bend down, every tongue will swear..." In these two verses, the Greek text published in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* credits *both* Jehovah and the Lord (Jesus) as receiving the worship which the Isaiah passage has reserved solely for Jehovah. A careful reading of the three passages in their entirety—using the *Kingdom Interlinear Text* where applicable—is encouraged.

Jehovah. When Mike first began the study of this second category, he was unaware that many of these verses were not quotations from Hebrew Scripture passages. That discovery would be made later in his interlinear study.

(2)MANY PASSAGES GIVE THE ONE ADDRESSED IN A VERSE EQUALITY WITH JEHOVAH. Many passages unique to the Christian Greek Scriptures give the one identified in a particular verse equality with the Father. These verses attribute qualities to the subject of the verse which are reserved for Jehovah God. These passages are translated using the divine name "Jehovah" in the Christian Scriptures of the *New World Translation*. (In the following paragraphs we will look at examples from Romans 12:11 and Revelation 11:17.) In this category of verses, the *New World Translation* gives the Greek Scripture addressee equality with Jehovah, even though the verse is not a quotation from the Hebrew Scriptures. (Said in another way, these verses say something about the addressee which could only be true of Jehovah. If the verse is not a quotation from the Hebrew Scriptures, then the passage must be carefully studied to determine whom the Christian Scripture verse is identifying, because the addressee is given attributes which are equal to those of Jehovah.)

As we saw in the first category, of the 237 occurrences of the name "Jehovah" in the *New World Translation* Christian Scriptures, only 112 are quotations in which the divine name is found in Hebrew Scripture verses or their context.

On the other hand, 125 of the 237 occurrences are not quotations from any Hebrew Scripture passages. They are passages which use the divine name "Jehovah" in the *New World Translation*, even though the Greek word found in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* is Kyrios (Lord)—or occasionally Theos (God). It is this latter group of references which concern us here—those 125 occurrences of the name "Jehovah" in the *New World Translation's* Christian Scriptures in which there is no quotation source in the Hebrew Scriptures. There are numerous examples. For instance, Romans 12:11 says, "Slave for Jehovah." This has no cross references to any Hebrew Scripture passage.

Thus, there are many passages in the Christian Greek Scriptures in which the Tetragrammaton must be the original word used, or else the passage would be giving Jesus equality with Jehovah God. For example, Revelation 11:17 would read quite differently depending on whether the Tetragrammaton or Kyrios was used. If the Tetragrammaton was used by the Apostle John, the verse would read as translated in the *New World Translation*:

"We thank you, Jehovah God, the Almighty, the one who is and who was, because you have taken your great power and begun ruling as king.

If, on the other hand, the Apostle John used the Greek word Kyrios (Lord) as published in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*, then the verse would read like this:

"We thank you, Kyrios [Lord] God, the Almighty, the one who is and who was, because you have taken your great power and begun ruling as king." 10

To any one of Jehovah's Witnesses who is concerned with the accuracy of his faith, it is essential to know whether the inspired Christian writers used the Tetragrammaton (Jehovah's name) for such verses, or the Greek title Kyrios (Lord) referring to Jesus. The use of Kyrios in many of these instances would identify the Lord Jesus as being "The Almighty," "God," and similar titles used for Jehovah.

Mike often formulated his main topics as he studied during lunch. Back at the shop, however, he could think about the study and make applications. He realized the importance of his second topic when he applied it to a verse which had been discussed the previous Sunday in the *Watchtower* study. Mike was thinking of Revelation 1:8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> This is but one example of a reference which is not based on a Hebrew Scripture quotation in which the addressee—be it Jehovah or Kyrios—is clearly equal with Almighty God. Other examples in the book of the Revelation would include: 1:8, 4:11, 16:7, 18:8, 19:6, 21:22, and 22:5-6. There are numerous similar examples throughout the Christian Greek Scriptures.

It should be clear how important it is to determine whether a passage was originally written using the Tetragrammaton or the Greek word Kyrios. In many passages, the presence of the Greek word Kyrios (Lord) identifies Jesus with Jehovah. Revelation 1:8 gives us an illustration of the importance of this contrast. If the Tetragrammaton can be verified for this verse, it would read as it does in the *New World Translation*:

"I am the Alpha and the Omega" says Jehovah God, "the One who is and who was and who is coming, the Almighty."

On the other hand, if the word used by the inspired Christian writer was Kyrios (Lord) as published in the Greek section of the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*, then the verse would appropriately read:

"I am the Alpha and the Omega" says Kyrios God, "the One who is and who was and who is coming, the Almighty."

In this second instance, Kyrios (Lord) is designated as "God" who is "the Almighty." That is, Kyrios, who is most frequently identified as Jesus, would be given the title of "God...the Almighty."

It is clear that verifying the inspired Christian writers' use of the Tetragrammaton is of great importance to you as one of Jehovah's Witnesses. In many verses, if Kyrios rather than the Tetragrammaton had been used by the original writer, Jesus would be identified with titles which are ascribed to Jehovah such as "God Almighty."

Mike was concerned by the forcefulness of these two possibilities. Over the next few days, while doing engine work in the garage, he considered the consequences of the answer he would someday need to find. He certainly had been correct when he started this search for truth. The Tetragrammaton was going to have an immense impact on his faith. If the Tetragrammaton was used by the inspired Christian writers, his present faith should continue to grow. But what if the Tetragrammaton was not used by the original writers? What if the original writers—with the early church's knowledge and approval—had substituted a title for Jesus in place of the divine name?

Brother Hanson was not correct in his assessment of the Tetragrammaton's importance. His faith was *very* dependent on the inspired Christian writers' use of the Tetragrammaton. Mike was frightened. But he knew he must continue his search.

Consider the importance that these two options have to Witnesses. First, if the inspired Christian writers *did* use the Tetragrammaton, then we must be able to find strong manuscript evidence of its use in early Greek Scriptures. We cannot imagine that Jehovah would allow confusion between his divine name and the title of another without providing ample evidence to correct the error. On the other hand, what if the inspired Christian writers *did* use Jesus' title, "Lord" (Kyrios), as a substitute for the Tetragrammaton? It was either the highest form of blasphemy, or it was the strongest statement possible of the unique identification of Jesus with Jehovah.

Mike sensed an extreme urgency in his study. He knew that his faith was entirely dependent on the truth behind the Tetragrammaton. He spent several days looking through Watch Tower publications. Within a week he realized he was losing his original direction. He was neither completing the portion of the study he had started, nor was he finding a simple answer to what he was now calling his "Tetragrammaton dilemma."

He decided to complete what he had started. He would continue his search for evidence of the Tetragrammaton in the original writings of the inspired Christian writers.

Before continuing, he briefly summarized in a notebook that which he had already discovered.

SUMMARY. We started by telling of a Witness who was puzzled by an elder's statement that his

faith did not depend on the inspired Christian writers' use of the Tetragrammaton. What is *your* reaction? We considered two reasons why it is important for you as a Witness to know whether the inspired Christian writers used the Tetragrammaton.

- 1. Hebrew Scripture references referring to Jehovah must equally refer to him when they are quoted in the Christian Greek Scriptures. It would have been blasphemy for the inspired Christian Scripture writers to quote a passage which referred to Jehovah in the Hebrew Scriptures and then apply it to anyone but God himself in the Christian Greek Scriptures. Improperly using Jehovah's name is blasphemy (Deuteronomy 5:11 and Leviticus 24:15-16.). The writers of the Christian Scriptures could not have blasphemed under the inspiration of God.
- 2. Many Christian Scriptures which are *not* quotations from Hebrew Scriptures give the one addressed in a Scripture verse (whether that one is identified by the Tetragrammaton or Kyrios [Lord]) equality with Jehovah. What would the significance of Revelation 11:17 be if Kyrios (Lord), the title of Jesus, was used rather than the Tetragrammaton? Which word is used in the Greek text of the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*? (How do other verses in Revelation compare with this verse: 1:8, 4:11, 16:7, 18:8, 19:6, 21:22 and 22:5-6?)

#### INSPIRATION AND THE CHRISTIAN SCRIPTURES

Mike decided to make a review of the inspiration of the Christian Greek Scriptures the first step in his study of the Tetragrammaton. He was certain of his position that all Scripture was inspired by God. He was also certain that the text of the inspired writers was entirely accurate. Still, a review of these topics seemed like a good place to start.

He had another reason for starting with the subject of inspiration. It was a subject for which he felt he could easily find material. That meant the first part of his study would be easier to manage. He was more concerned about the later parts of the study. He knew that finding information on the use of the Tetragrammaton in early Greek manuscripts would be difficult.

Mike had used a copy of "All Scripture Is Inspired of God and Beneficial<sup>11</sup> in an earlier study. He decided to reread the section entitled Study Four to the end of the book. He found Study Six "The Christian Greek Text of the Holy Scriptures," to be the most helpful.

His first question was simply "What does inspiration mean?"

You have often heard the term "inspiration" used in reference to the Bible. Do you know what that term means? Does it simply mean that the Scripture is good literature? Or does it mean more? It certainly means much more. In the book already mentioned, we read,

"All Scripture is inspired of God." These words at 2 Timothy 3:16 identify God, whose name is Jehovah, as the Author and Inspirer of the Holy Scriptures. [And further that] Jesus ... set the highest value on God's word, declaring, "Your word is truth." 12

What kind of book do you think Jehovah would write? It would be a book which would be entirely free of error. Furthermore, because successive generations would read it, the Author

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> "All Scripture Is Inspired of God and Beneficial," Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society, copyright 1990. This is an excellent book dealing with the subject of the Christian Greek Scripture and its accuracy. For a more complete evaluation than we can present here, we would suggest that you read the material from Study Four to the end of the book. Study Six, "The Christian Greek Text of the Holy Scriptures," is particularly helpful.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> "All Scripture Is Inspired of God and Beneficial," 1990, page 7.

would carefully protect his book so that later generations might also read it in the most accurate form possible. The authors of the book we have been quoting continue by saying:

"All the words of the inspired Scriptures are 'faithful and true', bringing immeasurable benefits to those who heed them.—Rev. 21:5.

How do these benefits come about? The complete expression of the apostle Paul at 2 Timothy 3:16, 17 supplies the answer: 'All Scripture is inspired of God and beneficial for teaching, for reproving, for setting things straight, for disciplining in righteousness, that the man of God may be fully competent, completely equipped for every good work.' The inspired Scriptures, then, are beneficial for teaching right doctrine and right conduct, setting things straight in our minds and lives, and reproving and disciplining us so that we may walk humbly in truth and righteousness." <sup>13</sup>

When we understand the source of the Scriptures as Jehovah himself, we can certainly understand that they would be accurate. God would not give us a Bible with errors. But we need to be careful that we correctly understand what we mean when we say, "Scriptures without error." The *original* writings were without error. Could copies—and translations—of the original writing contain errors? Regrettably, history shows us that this has happened. That does not mean we cannot have confidence in our Bible. But it means we are talking about the original Hebrew and Christian Greek Scriptures when we say there was no error. (We will briefly come back to the subject of the accuracy of the Greek text later in this chapter.)

So far, we have only talked about the *result* of inspiration; that is, that God as an author would not make mistakes. But we still have not explained the *process* called inspiration. The process is best understood when we learn the definition of the word "inspiration." To quote our previous source: "The expression 'Inspired of God'... is translated from the Greek The-op'neu-stos, meaning 'God-breathed.'"<sup>14</sup> For the most part, we don't know how God gave his revelation to each of the inspired Scripture writers. (In some cases, however, the writer himself tells us. The writers of both Daniel and Revelation gave information about the inspiration process itself.) But we do know that God gave each writer his thoughts in such a way that they wrote the very words which Jehovah intended to communicate to the readers. Thus, we know that the words in the original manuscripts are the exact words God wanted written.

After his brief review of inspiration, Mike was satisfied that the doctrine he had been taught regarding this subject was correct. He knew that Jehovah had communicated truth to the inspired writers of Scripture. He also knew that God could not make mistakes.

Now Mike needed to examine some specific information regarding the Christian Greek Scriptures. His primary question concerned the accuracy of the Greek text which is available today in comparison with the text as it was originally written.

Say, for instance, that the original manuscript of an important historical document had been destroyed. Also imagine that printing presses had not yet been invented before its loss. Thus, all that would be available would be copies of handwritten copies. (Of course, there would be errors made due to the copying process.) If you were assigned the task of establishing the most accurate reproduction of the original document, could you do it? You certainly could. First, you would look for as many copies of the lost document as you could find. Secondly, you would attempt to establish the date when each copy was made. Then, you would establish specific guidelines to determine the reliability of each copy. Finally, you would compare each of the existing copies in order to reconstruct the original document.

After you had gathered all of the materials, you would first look for the oldest manuscripts, because the older the manuscript, the greater the possibility of its being a faithful reproduction of the original document. (A very old manuscript might be the second—or possibly even the first copy—made from the original lost document. On the other hand, a recent manuscript might have a large number of copies between it and the original. The more copies

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> "All Scripture Is Inspired of God and Beneficial," 1990, page 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> "All Scripture Is Inspired of God and Beneficial," 1990, page 9.

which exist between the original and the manuscript which you are editing, the greater the possibility there is for copying mistakes.) The older the manuscript of any portion of Scripture, the more likely it is that it represents a faithful reproduction of the work of the inspired Christian writer. (We say "likely" because there may be exceptions. If, for example, it could be shown that a recent copy was made from a very early copy, then the recent copy might be more accurate than other older copies.)

Mike was intrigued by a discovery he made while reviewing material on the earliest Christian Greek manuscripts. He realized that many ancient copies of the Greek Scriptures exist. In fact, he discovered that significant portions are available which were copied in the third century C.E. Some of these manuscripts, he noted, are cited in footnotes in the *New World Translation*. He would later discover that these are extremely important as "J" document references in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*.

One afternoon at the garage, Mike was thinking about the significance of these third century manuscripts. He realized it meant that biblical scholars actually possessed copies of the Christian Greek Scriptures which were made between 201 and 300 C.E. But he also knew that the inspired Christian writers wrote between 41 C.E. (Matthew) and 98 C.E. (the epistles of John). This meant that the oldest copies were made within a relatively few years—to at most 150 years—of their writing. In one case, a manuscript for the Gospel of John is available which was copied about 125 C.E. This was approximately 25 years after the original was written. Was it possible that some of these copies were actually copies of the original? He would never be able to know for sure, of course, but he was fascinated with the idea.

We want to go back to our illustration of the important historical document. How would you compare the manuscripts after you had assembled them chronologically? Could you actually determine what the original text said? Again, the answer is "yes." Say, for instance, that each manuscript had ten copying errors. However, you would soon discover that each manuscript contained errors unique to that copy. Therefore, you would merely need to tabulate the highest agreement between each of the manuscripts for a particular sentence or word to find the most likely reading of the original document. For example, you might find that eight manuscripts used one specific word in a particular sentence, but two other manuscripts use other (but not the same) words with a similar meaning. You would understand that eight repetitions of the same word most likely represented the original text, whereas two synonyms which were different from each other, were most likely copying errors. (Again, there are exceptions to this rule. There is an exception when multiple copies were made from a single early, but faulty, manuscript.)

In the same way, biblical scholars have been able to compare the copies which are available today and determine the content of the original Greek Scriptures with amazing accuracy. This has been aided by the fact that there are over 5,000 ancient manuscript portions in the original Greek language available today. A very accurate summary of the reliability of our Greek text is given in the reference cited:<sup>18</sup>

F. J. A. Hort, who was co-producer of the Westcott and Hort text, writes: "...If comparative trivialities...are set aside, the words in our opinion still subject to doubt [in the Greek text] can hardly amount to more than a thousandth part of the whole New Testament."...Sir Frederic Kenyon [says] 'The interval then between the dates of original composition and the earliest extant evidence becomes so small as to be in fact negligible, and the last foundation for any doubt that the Scriptures have come down to us substantially as they were written has now been removed."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> "All Scripture Is Inspired of God and Beneficial," 1990, pages 313 - 314.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> "Scripture writing dates are not easily authenticated. For consensus, we will use the writing dates given in the table "Christian Greek Scriptures (C.E.)" from *Insight on the Scriptures*, Volume 1, page 310.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> This probable time span of 25 years between the Apostle John's death and the oldest manuscripts is given in "All Scripture Is Inspired of God and Beneficial," 1990, page 317.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> "All Scripture Is Inspired of God and Beneficial," 1990, page 319.

Mike was satisfied. He could have full confidence in the Greek text. Further, the text of the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* was completely satisfactory, inasmuch as it is the well-recognized Westcott and Hort text.

Mike again made concluding notations in his notebook.

SUMMARY. The question of inspiration and the reliability of the Greek text of the Christian Scriptures has been the primary concern of this chapter.

- 1. The source of the Scriptures is Jehovah himself. We can be certain that God would not give us a Bible with errors.
- 2. The process of inspiration is best understood from the definition of the word. "Inspired of God" comes from the Greek word The-op'neu-stos, meaning "God-breathed." God gave the inspired Scripture writers his thoughts in such a way that they wrote the words that Jehovah intended to communicate to mankind.
- 3. The Greek text of the Christian Scriptures which we have today is essentially error-free. We can verify this because (a) we have many early manuscripts—some copied a hundred years or less after the originals were written, and, (b) because we have a large number (over 5,000) of ancient Greek manuscripts to study.

### A GREEK INTERLINEAR STUDY

Mike now began the actual study of the Tetragrammaton in the Christian Greek Scriptures.

This was not a simple undertaking. He made several attempts before successfully starting his study. Mike had decided, however, that he wanted to do an original study rather than reading others' conclusions concerning the presence of the Tetragrammaton in the inspired writings. (Reports of others' studies are readily available.<sup>19</sup>) As much as possible, Mike wanted to look at the actual documents themselves.

His final direction for the study came from a series of observations made over lunch. While glancing through his *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*, he examined several "Jehovah" passages. In reading the footnotes, he realized the information for his study was available in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* itself. From this reference book, he could find the basic documentation substantiating the presence of the Tetragrammaton in the Christian Greek Scriptures.

The *Kingdom Interlinear Translation of the Greek Scriptures*, <sup>20</sup> published by the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society, will be the primary reference source for this study. The bulk of the information comes from the 1985 edition. Information from the 1969 edition will also be used, inasmuch as the material given in the section "EXPLANATION OF THE SYMBOLS USED IN THE MARGINAL REFERENCES" (beginning on page 26 of the 1969 edition) is more comprehensive.

A brief explanation of the footnotes and reference material found in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* needs to be given. It is possible, however, that some readers are unfamiliar with the format of an interlinear Bible. A reproduction of the actual format, consisting of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> For this information in concise form, see *The Divine Name That Will Endure Forever,* Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society, copyright 1984.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> The Kingdom Interlinear Translation of the Greek Scriptures, Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society, 1969 and 1985 editions.

Greek text, the word-for-word English translation beneath each corresponding Greek word, and the *New World Translation* column on the right, is shown in Figure 1. (Some may be more familiar with the term *diaglott* which has the same meaning as *interlinear*.) The footnotes for all verses are grouped together at the bottom of the page. Figure 1 shows Matthew 1:22-24 as these verses appear in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*. This passage was selected merely because it contains two "Jehovah" references with their corresponding footnotes.

When the *New World Translation* text in the right-hand column uses God's name, an asterisk beside the word "Jehovah" identifies a footnote at the bottom of the page. This footnote cites a series of references listing two categories of textual evidence. The first category cites Hebrew translations which use the Tetragrammaton and are frequently identified as "J" references. The "J" references support the choice of the name "Jehovah" in the *New World Translation*. The second category cites early Greek manuscripts which substantiate the Greek word Kyrios (Lord) (or, in some cases, Theos [God]). These Greek

22 tou'to del o{lon gevgonen i{na plhrwqh' but whole has happened in order This that might be fulfilled rJhqe;n uJpo; Kurivou dia; tou' profhvtou the (thing) spoken Lord through prophet levgonto" 23 ¡Idou; hJ pargevno" ejn gastri; e{xei saying Look! The virgin in belly will have kai; tevxetai uiJovn, kai; kalevsousin to; o[noma and will give birth to son, and they will call the name aujtou' **j**Emmanouhvl ejstin megermhneuovmenon Meg of him Immanuel; which being translated With hJmw'n oJ qeov". 24 ¡Egerqei;" jIwsh;f ajpo; οJ us the God. Having been awakened but the Joseph from tou' u{pnou ejpoivhsen wJ" prosevtaxen aujtw'/ oJ a[ggelo" Kurivou the sleep did directed the angel of Lord parevlaben kai; th;n gunai'ka aujtou' and he took along the woman of him;

22 ΑII this actually came about for that to be fulfilled which was spoken by Jehovah\* Look! The virgin will become pregnant and will give birth to a son, and they will call his name Immanuel, which means. when translated, "With Us God.' 24 Then Joseph woke up from his sleep and did as angel the Jehovah\* had directed him. and he took his wife home.

**22**\* Jehovah, J<sup>1-4,7-14,16-18,22-24,26</sup>; Lord, ÅB. **24**\* Jehovah, J<sup>1-4,7-14,16-18,22-24</sup>; Lord, ÅB.

Figure 1: The Greek and English format of the Kingdom Interlinear Translation.

notation characters will be used for this second category of documents. (These notation characters, Å, A, Arm, B, C, D, and It, will be explained in the following paragraph.)

In the introductory section of the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*, each of these footnote reference texts are listed with a brief description and corresponding manuscript dates. For example, J<sup>7</sup> (which is the document most frequently cited) is identified as the "Greek Scriptures in Hebrew." This is a translation (version) of the original Greek Scriptures into Hebrew done by Elias Hutter of Nuremburg in 1599. The footnote reference "J<sup>7</sup>" in the *New World Translation* tells us that the selection of the name "Jehovah" in that particular verse is based on the use of the Tetragrammaton in this Hebrew translation made in 1599. The same footnote also cites early Greek manuscript sources for the Greek word found in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*. In most cases, the Greek word is Kyrios, which is translated as "Lord." When the footnote cites "B," it refers us to a Greek Scripture manuscript identified as "Vatican MS. No. 1209" from the 4th century. Thus, when "B" is cited in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* footnote, we know that the word Kyrios (Lord) can be substantiated as early as the 4th century C.E., which is between 301 and 400 C.E. In almost all cases, both the "J" references and the Kyrios (Lord) references will cite multiple manuscripts or versions.

Throughout the remainder of this study, we will often refer to the word Kyrios (Lord) as the Greek word used in the Christian Scriptures in these 237 instances. The word Kyrios (Lord) is almost always the Greek word which the *New World Translation* translates as "Jehovah," though there are a few exceptions where Theos (God) or other alternate readings are similarly translated. (A form of the Greek word Kyrios (Lord) is translated as Jehovah 223 of the 237 times.) We wish to be accurate, yet we need to avoid lengthy explanations at each occurrence. Therefore, we will use the word Kyrios to mean Kyrios (Lord), Theos (God), or an alternate reading unless it is necessary to specify the precise word.

Mike was finally ready to begin his study of the Tetragrammaton in the Christian Greek Scriptures. From the information available to him in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*, he decided to list his information for each of the 237<sup>21</sup> "Jehovah" references in ten columns.

The following are the headings of each column in the study. Each heading is accompanied by a brief explanation of the purpose of the column. (The entire study is reproduced in the Appendix.)

- (1) GREEK SCRIPTURE REFERENCE This column identifies the 237 references which use the name "Jehovah" in the *New World Translation*. They are cited in numerous sources, such as Appendix 1D of the *Reference Edition* Bible.
- (2) GREEK WORD USED IN *KIT* This column exactly reproduces the Greek word used in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*. It is generally a form of the word Kyrios (Lord), though there are some exceptions. Spelling is not always identical, because the final letters of certain Greek words must be in agreement with corresponding grammatical functions according to the word's use as an object or a subject, and whether it is used with a preposition or is possessive.
- (3) ENGLISH TRANSLATION IN *KIT* This column lists the English word used to translate Kyrios within the Greek portion of the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*.
- (4) EARLIEST MANUSCRIPT DATE SUPPORTING "LORD" (OR "GOD") This column lists the date of the earliest<sup>22</sup> Greek manuscript footnote citation using Kyrios (Lord). In most instances, more than

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Appendix 1D of the *New World Translation Reference Edition* of 1984 lists an additional 72 places where the name "Jehovah" appears in the footnotes of the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* but not in the main text. For the sake of brevity, these references will not be included in the final summary of the Appendix.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> The New World Bible Translation Committee used a limited number of Greek manuscripts as the basis for their footnote citations. Five manuscripts with somewhat later dates (Å, A, B, C, and D dated between 301 and 600 C.E.) are generally cited. A small, additional group of earlier manuscripts (P<sup>45</sup>, P<sup>46</sup>, P<sup>47</sup>, P<sup>66</sup>, P<sup>74</sup>, and P<sup>75</sup>, which are dated as early as 200 C.E.) are listed in the EXPLANATION OF SYMBOLS USED section of the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*, 1985 edition. However, these earlier manuscripts are not cited in the *Jehovah* footnotes. In addition to the manuscripts listed, numerous

- one manuscript is cited in the actual *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* footnote. The date is usually identified by century in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*'s footnote. For the sake of comparison, century dates are transposed to year dates. (That is, the fourth century is listed as 301 to 400.) *Only a single citation from the manuscript bearing the earliest date will be shown.* All dates are from the Common Era.
- (5) EARLIEST VERSION DATE SUPPORTING "JEHOVAH" This column gives the date of the earliest known Hebrew translation which uses the Tetragrammaton. In many cases, multiple references are cited through the actual footnote. Again, only the earliest date will be shown. (Note that in category 4 above, the evidence cited in the Kingdom Interlinear Translation is always a Greek manuscript. In the case of the evidence cited for the Tetragrammaton, the Kingdom Interlinear Translation always cites a Hebrew translation [version].) Again, all dates are from the Common Era.
- (6) NAME USED IN THE NEW WORLD TRANSLATION. This column lists the name used in the New World Translation. Because this is a compilation of the 237 occurrences of the divine name, it will in all cases be "Jehovah." The divine name is included at this point so that a full comparison can be made with other information in the study.
- (7) HEBREW SCRIPTURE QUOTATION USING THE DIVINE NAME In certain cases, the writer of the Christian Greek Scriptures quoted a Hebrew Scripture verse *in which the divine name is a part of the verse itself.* In cases where the divine name was directly quoted as a part of the particular Hebrew Scripture passage cited, the Hebrew Scripture passage is identified in this column. The primary source used by the New World Bible Translation Committee to identify Hebrew Scripture references was J<sup>20</sup> A Concordance to the Greek Testament by Moulton and Geden. When the Hebrew entry is found in J<sup>20</sup>, the Hebrew Scripture reference is entered in bold font. A standard font in this column indicates that the Hebrew Scripture reference was found in the center column of the New World Translation Reference Edition or the New American Standard Bible.
- (8) HEBREW SCRIPTURE QUOTATION REFERRING TO THE DIVINE NAME In many cases, the Greek Scripture writer cited a Hebrew Scripture verse in which the divine name was not found in the verse itself, though Jehovah was clearly identified in the Hebrew Scripture context as the subject of the cited verse. In these instances, the Hebrew Scripture passage will be identified in this 8th column. (Notice the difference between columns 7 and 8. In column 7, the actual name of Jehovah appears in the quotation. In column 8, the name "Jehovah" is not a part of the Hebrew Scripture quotation, yet the name of Jehovah is clearly included in the context of the verse.) The differentiation between columns 8 and 9 is made solely for interest's sake. Both columns represent passages quoted from the Hebrew Scriptures by the inspired Christian writers.
- (9) CROSS REFERENCE CITATION ONLY Our primary source of Hebrew Scripture quotations for this study was the center column cross references of the *New World Translation Reference Edition*. Consequently, a distinction must be made between a true Hebrew Scripture quotation by an inspired Christian writer and mere cross references to subject- or parallel-thought citations in which the divine name occurs. The center column reference does not identify the form of cross references employed. The latter are informative citations, yet for our purposes, they must be segregated from those of columns 7 or 8 above. The mere presence of a parallel subject in the Hebrew Scriptures does not indicate that the inspired Christian writer was quoting that verse. In some cases, the cross reference is to a subject entirely distinct from the divine name. In these instances, an "X" indicates that the Hebrew Scripture verse is not applicable. No entry is made when the citation refers to a Greek Scripture verse.
- (10) NO QUOTATION OR REFERENCE TO THE HEBREW SCRIPTURES. In a certain number of the 237 "Jehovah" references, the inspired Christian writer was not quoting the Hebrew Scriptures. All passages which lack a Hebrew Scripture source will be identified in this final column with an "X."

Mike started his study. He first located the reference for each "Jehovah" passage from

older Greek manuscripts are currently available. Consequently, the dates in this column are not the *earliest dates known* but merely represent the earliest dates used in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* footnotes. See the footnote references numbered 8, 10, 13, and 14 in the Appendix.

his list of the 237 occurrences of the divine name in the Christian Greek Scriptures. After locating the passage in his *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*, he copied the Greek word and the English translation from the interlinear portion. He then copied the translation from the *New World Translation* (which was always "Jehovah"). This identified the information for eventual comparison.<sup>23</sup>

Obtaining the manuscript dates for the respective wording was relatively simple. The footnote always gives a "J" reference identifying one or more Hebrew translations, which has a known publication date. In addition, the footnote usually gives an ancient Greek manuscript reference with a "Lord" reading. From this information, Mike could turn to the "EXPLANATION OF SYMBOLS" section in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* and find the manuscript date.

Completing the section on the Hebrew Scripture references was more time consuming. He first looked at the verse in his *New World Translation Reference Edition* Bible. When there was a quotation from a Hebrew Scripture source, it was given in the center column. He could then read the Hebrew Scripture passage and place it in the proper category. If the inspired Christian writer quoted a verse which used the divine name in the Hebrew verse, then Mike would note the reference in the column entitled HEBREW SCRIPTURE QUOTATION USING THE DIVINE NAME.

In many cases, the divine name is not a part of the verse quoted from the Hebrew Scriptures by the inspired Christian writer, but Jehovah is clearly identified in the Hebrew Scripture context. In these instances, Mike identified the passage in the column HEBREW SCRIPTURE QUOTATION REFERRING TO THE DIVINE NAME.

Some of the categories in Mike's study were added as he progressed. The column entitled CROSS REFERENCE CITATION ONLY was one of those instances. It was only after he was working with the center column cross references of the *New World Translation Reference Edition* that he realized he needed this category. This column identified cross references to the Hebrew Scriptures which contained important information but did not cite a passage quoted by the inspired Christian writers.

In many cases, however, the Greek Scripture passage had no quotation source in the Hebrew Scriptures. When this was the case, Mike noted it under the column NO QUOTATION OR REFERENCE TO THE HEBREW SCRIPTURES.

You would expect that most of the 237 uses of Jehovah's name in the *New World Translation's* Christian Greek Scriptures would come from passages where the writer quoted the Hebrew Scriptures. However, this is not the case. As you saw in Chapter 3, the *New World Translation* uses the divine name in the Christian Greek Scriptures 125 times in which there is no quotation source from the Hebrew Scriptures. Thus, a majority of the occurrences of the name "Jehovah" in the Christian Greek Scriptures will be listed in one of the two categories CROSS REFERENCE CITATION ONLY or NO QUOTATION OR REFERENCE TO THE HEBREW SCRIPTURES.

Mike set aside time each day to work on his Tetragrammaton study. The first five columns of information come from the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*. That means that all dates and information regarding the original Greek words which he was recording were dates and textual information verified by the Watch Tower Society. Mike's entries for the book of Matthew and part of the book of Mark looked like the table shown on page 23. The completed study took many months to finish.

The Appendix contains the entire Tetragrammaton study including all 237 references

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> \* This study was originally done with *all* occurrences of the word Kyrios (Kuvrio") in the entire Greek Scriptures. In the original study, the column which identifies the translation in the *New World Translation* was more meaningful in comparison with the 237 "Jehovah" passages. In reference to the original study, the Greek portion of the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* uses Kyrios 714 times. Of these occurrences, the *New World Translation* renders the word as "Lord" 406 times, as "Jehovah" 223 times, as "Master(s)" 53 times, as "sir(s)" 17 times, as "lord(s)" 8 times, as "owner(s)" 5 times, and as "God" once. One occurrence of Kyrios is not counted.

from the New World Translation in which the name of Jehovah is used in the Christian Greek Scriptures.

Mike was bothered by his discovery that more than half of the "Jehovah" references in the Greek Scriptures were not quotations from the Hebrew Scriptures. He reviewed a portion from the New World Translation Appendix 1D he had heard many times which implied that the 237 "Jehovah" references come directly from the Hebrew Scriptures:<sup>24</sup>

To know where the divine name was replaced by the Greek words Kuvrio" and Qeov", we have determined where the inspired Christian writers have quoted verses, passages and expressions from the Hebrew Scriptures and then we have referred back to the Hebrew text to ascertain whether the divine name appears there. In this way we determined the identity to give Kuvrio" and Qeov" and the personality with which to clothe them.

To avoid overstepping the bounds of a translator into the field of exegesis, we have been most cautious about rendering the divine name in the Christian Greek Scriptures, always carefully considering the Hebrew Scriptures as a background. We have looked for agreement from the Hebrew versions to confirm our rendering.

See the first page of the Appendix for this illustration.

The New World Translation appendix citation does not say that all of the 237 references to Jehovah are found in the Hebrew Scriptures. But Mike was disturbed by that implication when only 112<sup>25</sup> instances of the use of the divine name could actually be substantiated as being quotations from the Hebrew Scriptures. (Of the 237 "Jehovah" passages, all but 1 Corinthians 7:17 have support from Hebrew versions. Nonetheless, only 112 are quotations from the Hebrew Scriptures.)

Mike was again startled to make a second discovery. He found a significant discrepancy between the dates supporting the Tetragrammaton and the dates supporting evidence that the inspired writers of the Christian Greek Scriptures used Kyrios (Lord).

Of the 237 "Jehovah" references, 232 of them can be documented with the word Kyrios (Lord) as early as the 4th century C.E. Mike realized that if the Tetragrammaton had been used by the inspired Christian writers, all indications of its use had disappeared within two or three hundred years of the time the authors wrote.<sup>26</sup> Yet, this is hard to imagine considering the large number of churches spread over Asia, Europe, and Africa by the end of the 3rd century. This would require the complete loss of many thousands of Scripture manuscripts on three continents at almost the same period of time. It would also require that older manuscripts which were kept by the early church were never recopied. It would further require that many who had risked their lives for the Scriptures would raise no objection over the alteration.

Secondly, the evidence for the Tetragrammaton in the Christian Greek Scriptures was extremely late. The earliest evidence is from 1385 with the most frequently cited evidence coming from 1599.

It is interesting to note specific dates and the frequency of citation for several of the more important documents listed in the Kingdom Interlinear Translation. The earliest Hebrew language version of the Greek Scriptures used to document the Tetragrammaton in the Christian Greek

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> The New World Translation of the Holy Scriptures Reference Edition, 1984, pages 1564-1565.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> See the summary at the end of the Appendix for this figure.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Five Kyrios references actually come from the 3rd century C.E., which moves the manuscript date to within one century of the original writing. These are found in the Chester Beatty 2 manuscripts which are designated as P<sup>46</sup>.

Scriptures dates from 1385. (This is  $J^2$ .) That version<sup>27</sup> is listed 17 times in the "J" footnotes. The most frequently cited version, which is  $J^7$ , is the Elias Hutter translation dating from 1599, with 181 references. The two earliest Greek manuscripts indicating that Kyrios (Lord) is the preferred reading date from the 4th century C.E. These are *Vatican MS. No. 1209*, and Å (*Aleph*) *Sinaitic MS.* These two documents account for 232 references in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* giving stronger evidence that Kyrios (Lord) – rather than the Tetragrammaton – was used by the inspired Christian writers.

The entire study of each of the 237 "Jehovah" references will not be duplicated in this chapter. However, you will find the complete study in the Appendix. We would encourage you to read through the Appendix carefully. Consider the question which prompted Mike's study: "Does my faith as one of Jehovah's Witnesses depend on the inspired Christian writers' use of the Tetragrammaton in their writings?" Would you expect a study such as this—researched entirely from Watch Tower Society sources—to give you the answers you anticipate?

#### Though his study was not complete, Mike wrote the following in his notebook:

SUMMARY. A study of the presence of the Tetragrammaton in the Christian Greek Scriptures must evaluate the earliest available texts from which our present Bible comes. The *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* gives substantial information in the following areas:

- 1. For a given passage using the divine name "Jehovah," the footnotes will direct the reader to both "J" translation documents giving the source of historic uses of the Tetragrammaton, and to ancient Greek manuscripts establishing the use of Kyrios (Lord) or other readings.
- 2. The introductory portion of the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* entitled, EXPLANATION OF THE SYMBOLS USED IN THE MARGINAL REFERENCES, will give a brief history and location of each document cited in the footnotes. This
- 3. The earliest Hebrew language version of the Greek Scriptures used to document the Tetragrammaton in the Christian Greek Scriptures dates from 1385 (with 17 references). The most frequently cited version dates from 1599 (with 181 references) and is, itself, a translation of an unspecified Greek text.
- 4. The two earliest Greek manuscripts cited in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* use Kyrios (Lord) in 232 of the 237 "Jehovah" passages. These Greek manuscripts date from the 4th century C.E., and are identified as *Vatican MS. No. 1209* and Å (*Aleph*) *Sinaitic MS*. Thus, these 232 references cited by the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* indicate far stronger support that the inspired Christian writers used Kyrios (Lord) rather than the Tetragrammaton.

#### CONCLUDING THE GREEK INTERLINEAR STUDY

Mike worked longer to complete his study than he had first anticipated. Nonetheless, he was satisfied that he had learned a great deal about the Tetragrammaton and the Christian Greek Scriptures.

While studying each of the 237 "Jehovah" passages in the *New World Translation*, he copied both the Greek and English words from the "Jehovah" interlinear text. Then he used the footnote information given in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* to establish the earliest dates for both Kyrios (Lord) and the Tetragrammaton.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27 \*</sup> The August 15, 1996 *Watchtower* magazine introduced an important book by George Howard entitled *The Gospel of Matthew According to a Primitive Hebrew Text.* In his book, Howard indicates that J<sup>2</sup> may actually be a recension (that is, a copy with editorial changes) of Matthew's original Hebrew Gospel. Throughout this brochure, we will continue to use the term "version" to describe J<sup>2</sup>. However, the reader should be aware that J<sup>2</sup> may have much greater importance than that of a mere version.

The *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* gives helpful reference and footnote material for each occurrence of the divine name. For example, we have already seen the interlinear portion for Matthew 1:24 which reads:

```
24
         jEgerqei;"
                        dev
                             οJ
                                 jlwsh;f
Having been awakened but the Joseph
ajpo; tou' u{pnou ejpoivhsen wJ" prosevtaxen
from the sleep
                  did
                           as directed
 auitw'/
          οJ
               a[ggelo"
                         Kurivou kai;
 to him
                angel
                          of Lord
               th;n gunai'ka auitou' ~
  parevlaben
 he took along
               the
                    woman of him;
```

In the right hand margin, the New World Translation reads:

24 Then Joseph woke up from his sleep and did as the angel of Jehovah\* had directed him, and he took his wife home.

Because the divine name is used, footnote "24\*" is added at the bottom of the page. The footnote reads:

24\* Jehovah, J1-4,7-14,16-18,22-24; Lord, ÅB.

When the reader consults the foreword section of the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* under the heading EXPLANATION OF THE SYMBOLS USED, a complete description of all the Greek manuscript and "J" symbols used in this verse is given. The description includes the date of both the Greek manuscripts and Hebrew translations. (For the sake of brevity, we will only quote the earliest or most concise<sup>28</sup> of the textual references. The reader should study this entire section carefully. The 1969 edition gives more complete information than the 1985 edition, though in some cases, the 1985 edition will list a Hebrew translation with a later edition date.) For the Matthew 1:29 footnote, we will look at the dates for the Greek manuscript Å (*Aleph*), and the Hebrew translation J<sup>7</sup>. The following descriptions come from the 1969 edition:

#### Å (Aleph)

Sinaitic MS. An uncial Greek manuscript of the 4th century in codex form. Originally it evidently contained the whole Bible, including all the Christian Greek Scriptures. It is at present possessed by the British Museum, London, England.

ე7

Greek Scriptures in Hebrew. In 1599 Elias Hutter of Nuremburg, Germany, published his translation of all the Christian Greek Scriptures into Hebrew. This was the first complete Hebrew version of all the canonical Christian Greek Scriptures, forming a part of Hutter's Polyglott New Testament of 1599. (A copy is found at the New York Public Library.)

The footnotes in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* are concise and easy to read, though a brief introduction will simplify their use. The footnote reading " $24^*$  Jehovah, J<sup>1-4,7-14,16-18,22-24; Lord, a B," contains the following information. The " $24^*$ " refers to the asterisk after "Jehovah" in verse 24. Following the verse number, the word "Jehovah" indicates the group of documents which support the use of the divine name for this verse in the *New World Translation*. These documents are identified as J<sup>1-4,7-14,16-18,22-24</sup>. This tells us that the Hebrew translations J<sup>2</sup>, J<sup>3</sup>, J<sup>4</sup>, and each of J<sup>7</sup> to J<sup>14</sup>, J<sup>16</sup> to J<sup>18</sup>, and J<sup>22</sup> to J<sup>24</sup> all contain the Tetragrammaton. The footnote then cites two Greek manuscripts identified by the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*, which substantiate Kyrios (Lord) in this verse. The Greek manuscripts are Å (*Aleph*) *Sinaitic MS*, and B (*Vatican Manuscript No.* 1209).</sup>

 $<sup>^{28}</sup>$  The earliest "J" document used in this verse is  $J^2$  which bears a date of 1385. Because  $J^2$ ,  $J^3$ , and  $J^4$  are all related documents, it is less confusing to use  $J^7$  for this illustration. ( $J^7$  is also the earliest *complete* Hebrew version.) In the Appendix information, however, the date from the earliest manuscript is always the one given.

(The reader should be aware that the Greek manuscripts cited as footnote references in the Kingdom Interlinear Translation are merely representative of a much larger number of Greek Scripture manuscripts. We referred earlier to the statement on page 319 in "All Scripture Is Inspired of God and Beneficial" where we are told that over 5,000 Greek manuscripts of the Christian Greek Scriptures exist. The Watch Tower Society has not documented any of these Greek texts as using the Tetragrammaton.<sup>29</sup> We may therefore assume that all Greek manuscripts use Kyrios (Lord) in these passages.)

It was, in fact, his careful use of Watch Tower Society information which caused Mike to become apprehensive after noticing an apparent conflict. Toward the end of his study, he realized that the Watch Tower Society had presented him with an irreconcilable contradiction. The word Kyrios is translated as "Lord" in the interlinear portion of the Watch Tower's Greek text, while the New World Translation uses the divine name "Jehovah" for the same passages. Thus, Mike realized that the Watch Tower Society seemingly endorses two conflicting positions. The first position is, that within the Greek text of the Kingdom Interlinear Translation, the Tetragrammaton was not used by the inspired Christian writers. The second position is that the New World Translation properly restores the Tetragrammaton 237 times.

The Watch Tower Society does, in fact, introduce this irreconcilable contradiction. If the Greek text published by the Watch Tower Society is accurate as a result of Jehovah's inspiration, then the appropriate word is Kyrios. Generally, Kyrios is translated as "Lord," and would be a reference to Jesus Christ. (This is the preferred choice of the *New World Translation* in 406 cases.<sup>30</sup> ) On the other hand, the New World Translation uses the divine name "Jehovah" in 237 instances. If "Jehovah" is indeed correct, then the Greek text is in error.

This irreconcilable contradiction is built on three viewpoints which cannot coexist without compromise. Yet, each of these viewpoints is strongly defended by the Watch Tower Society:

- 1. We posess faithful copies of the Christian Scriptures. We concur with the authors of "All Scripture Is Inspired of God and Beneficial" that the Greek Scriptures we have today are substantially the same as they were written. "...Sir Frederic Kenyon [is quoted as saying] 'The interval then between the dates of original composition and the earliest extant evidence becomes so small as to be in fact negligible, and the last foundation for any doubt that the Scriptures have come down to us substantially as they were written has now been removed." 31
- 2. The text of the Kingdom Interlinear Translation is trustworthy. This Greek text demonstrates that Kyrios (Lord) was the word used by the inspired Christian writers with manuscripts substantiating its presence from the 3rd and 4th centuries C.E. That is, manuscript evidence given in the Kingdom Interlinear Translation clearly demonstrates that Kyrios (Lord) was accepted as the proper word from as early as 104 years - to no later than 301 years - from the time of its writing. (John wrote Revelation about 96 C.E. The 3rd century began in 201 C.E., or 105 years after John wrote.)
- 3. The "J" reference sources are from a much later period of time. The versions used to substantiate the inspired Christian writers' use of the Tetragrammaton are no earlier than 1385 C.E.

Do you see the problem we encounter? If the Greek text is reliable, then is it not logical that all of its words are reliable? But if the Greek text is to be regarded as reliable, then how can the Tetragrammaton in a Hebrew translation made in the 14th century C.E. have precedence over

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> The Tetragrammaton is readily documented in certain Greek language translations of the Hebrew Scriptures. (The most notable are Palestinian and Jewish copies of the Septuagint as well as the translation by Aquila. See Appendix 1c, New World Translation Reference Edition, 1984.) However, the Septuagint is a distinctly different text from the later Christian Scriptures. In fact, there are no Greek manuscripts of the Christian Scriptures which have been documented as containing the Tetragrammaton.

<sup>30</sup> Refer to the footnote on page 21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> "All Scripture Is Inspired of God and Beneficial," Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society, 1990, page 319.

the Greek text which was known to use Kyrios (Lord) by no later than the 4th century C.E.?<sup>32</sup>

Mike was deeply bothered by this information. It was not merely that the dates seemed to be in conflict. After all, he knew that there were many areas in Scripture in which precise dates could not be established. But Mike was bothered because the problem was illogical.

He could not believe that the Greek text could be as reliable as the Watch Tower Society presented it to be if it was brought into question by a Hebrew translation dated 1300 years after the Greek text was written.

Most of all, he could not understand how, in a single book, the publisher of the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* could so clearly demonstrate that early dates substantiate Kyrios (Lord) as the correct choice, while presenting other information to indicate that the Tetragrammaton should be restored.

How would you deal with Mike's dilemma? Can we simply decide that the Greek text, though highly reliable, is consistently at fault in this single area? That is, is the Greek word Kyrios the correct reading, and should it be translated as "Lord" in all cases where it refers to Jesus' human ministry? Yet, in selected cases where the passage is referring to divine attributes, can we decide that the Greek word Kyrios (Lord) is in error and needs to be translated as "Jehovah"?

Which evidence do you think is more reliable? Much evidence substantiates the existence of the word Kyrios (Lord) in the Christian Greek Scriptures from approximately 100 to 300 years after the original writings. On the other hand, the bulk of the evidence given for the Tetragrammaton in these 237 highly specialized cases comes from translations of the Greek text made more than 1300 years later.

Mike understood that passages such as Matthew 1:24 were not critical to his faith. In other cases, however, he realized that the choice between the Tetragrammaton or Kyrios (Lord) had great significance.

Mike thought back to one particular "J" reference he had noticed in Revelation 1:8. He realized that the choice between the Tetragrammaton or Kyrios was of crucial importance in this verse because the use of the word Kyrios by the inspired Christian writers would identify the Lord (Jesus Christ) with "God" and "the Almighty." To add to the confusion, supposedly reliable Watch Tower publications represented both possibilities as being correct.

We need to look at the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation's* rendition of Revelation 1:8 in order to understand Mike's conflict. The interlinear portion reads like this:

Έγώ είμι ΤÓ Αλφα και ΤÓ Ω, I am Alpha and the Omega, λέγει Κύριος, θεός, ων και ην

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> The material leading to the summary of paragraph 7 is far too limited. There are many additional topics relating to this discussion which must be explored before giving a final answer. Needless to say, the possibility of the removal of the Tetragrammaton from the inspired Christian writings in the 2nd and 3rd centuries C.E. must be examined. Regrettably, we have eliminated this topic to be brief. For a complete discussion of the removal of the Tetragrammaton from the inspired Christian writings, see the book described on this brochure's front cover entitled, *The Tetragrammaton and the Christian Greek Scriptures*. In the chapter, "Removal of the Tetragrammaton from Early Greek Manuscripts," the reader will discover five topics which—if true—would contribute to the argument that the Tetragrammaton was, in fact, removed: 1. The majority of the earliest extant Christian Scripture manuscripts should show the Tetragrammaton or a reasonable derivative embedded in the Greek text. 2. Early and abundant extant manuscripts of the Christian Greek Scriptures should show evidence of the Tetragrammaton's removal. 3. The writings of the early church fathers should record a debate ensuing from the Tetragrammaton's removal. 4. Early non-canonical writings should include reference to the Tetragrammaton. 5. The Tetragrammaton should be identifiable in Christian Scriptures written in the Hebrew language during the apostolic or early church era. However, the Watch Tower Society does not give any evidence of the Tetragrammaton's presence in any one of these five areas. The only documentation comes from the *Septuagint* translation of the Hebrew Scriptures which is distinctly different from the inspired Christian Scriptures.

```
is saying Lord, the God, The (one) being and the (one) was 
και ό έρχόμενος, ό παντοκράτωρ. 
and the (one) coming, the Almighty.
```

Therefore, the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* would have us to read the verse:

"I am the Alpha and the Omega" says Kyrios God, "the One who is and who was and who is coming, the Almighty,"

whereas the New World Translation quoted in the right-hand margin translates the verse:

"I am the Alpha and the Omega" says Jehovah\* God, "the One who is and who was and who is coming, the Almighty."

The "8\*" footnote for "Jehovah" lists ten Hebrew versions which use the Tetragrammaton. It also lists two early Greek manuscripts which use Kyrios (Lord), and two versions which also substantiate "Lord." The footnote reads:

8\* Jehovah, J<sup>7,8,13,14,16-18,22-24</sup>; Lord, ÅAVgSv<sup>h</sup>.

Thus, the evidence the Watch Tower Society presents in support of "Jehovah" is ten Hebrew versions with dates of 1599, 1661, 1838, 1846, 1866, 1981, 1885, 1979, 1975, and 1863 respectively.<sup>33</sup> The evidence used to support Kyrios (Lord) consists of two early Greek manuscripts from the 4th and 5th centuries (300 to 499) C.E. Also, two versions (the *Latin Vulgate* and a Syriac version) are listed which confirm the usage as being "Lord."

Notice also that both "God" and "Almighty" are articular nouns in the Greek *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*. (Both "God" and "Almighty" are preceded by the article "the."<sup>34</sup> ) Appendix 6A in the *New World Translation Reference Edition*, 1984, says:

These translations [referred to as examples] use such words as "a god," "divine" or "godlike" because the Greek word qeov" (theos') is a singular predicate noun occurring before the verb and is not preceded by the definite article. This is an anarthrous theos'. The God with whom the Word, or Logos, was originally is designated here by the Greek expression oj qeov", that is, theos' preceded by the definite article ho. This is the articular theos'. Careful translators recognize that the articular construction of the noun points to an identity, a personality, whereas a singular anarthrous predicate noun preceding the verb points to a quality about someone. (Emphasis added.)

From this evidence concerning the use of the Greek article, we would conclude that the Kyrios (Lord) of Revelation 1:8 is "the [one, true] God," "the [eternal] Almighty." We can understand Mike's confusion.

Mike had said little to Sharon about his inquiry regarding the Tetragrammaton. She knew he was studying during his lunch hour, but his reading and study never surprised her. Purposely, he had decided not to discuss it until he found sufficient information. (As a matter of fact, when he started the study he had not given it much thought because he assumed it would confirm his belief in the inspired Christian writers' use of the Tetragrammaton.)

That evening proved to be the worst Mike and Sharon had experienced in years! Mike

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> The reader should be aware that discussions of Hebrew versions are frequently used to replace *any* direct evidence of early Christian Greek Scripture manuscripts which use the Tetragrammaton. *In none of its literature does the Watch Tower Society cite a single example of actual use of the Tetragrammaton within Greek manuscripts of the Christian Scriptures.* (Evidence for the Tetragrammaton in the *Septuagint* does not replace the need for textual evidence in the Christian Greek Scriptures.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> In fact, all the nouns in the verse are articular. Look carefully at the Greek text given in the example. "Alpha" and "Omega" are preceded by the neuter article *to* (to;), and the remaining noun functions are preceded by the masculine article *ho* (oJ).

realized later that he was too preoccupied with his own uncertainty with the Watch Tower Society's illogical conflicts; he had not let Sharon get settled after dinner before he started talking. He had rather abruptly started the conversation while she was busy clearing the table. Nor had he been careful in introducing the process he had thought through regarding the importance of the Tetragrammaton to his faith.

Later, Mike understood Sharon's response. He realized that what she heard sounded more like doubt than mere unanswered questions. But when he started explaining his confusion with the seemingly illogical conflict in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* to her, she became extremely defensive. She was not defensive because she knew more about the Tetragrammaton than he did; she was defensive because of her great indebtedness and appreciation to their congregation and friends.

For the first time in years, they had raised their voices at each other in anger.

The evening left Mike in a sour mood. He felt as though he had been misled by the Watch Tower Society. And he felt betrayed by Sharon. He also felt angry at himself — he had merely intended to talk about something that was bothering him.

How do *you* deal with new information which causes you to re-evaluate what you have been told? It is not easy to seriously consider new information, particularly when it challenges beliefs which we think are correct. It is especially difficult to be honest with ourselves when others we love and respect do not agree with us.

Mike did nothing more in his Tetragrammaton study for several weeks. When he did get back to his notebook, however, he wrote what he was thinking truthfully and completely. For the first time in five years, he realized that the Watch Tower Society was giving him conflicting information.

SUMMARY. As seen in earlier studies, a verification of the use of the Tetragrammaton by the inspired Christian writers is essential to the faith of any one of Jehovah's Witnesses. Therefore, considering its importance, verification of the Tetragrammaton's actual use by the Christian Greek Scripture's writers should be a relatively straightforward undertaking. That is, the earliest available texts—the oldest ancient Greek manuscripts—should give ample evidence supporting its use.

The *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* gives substantial information regarding each of the 237 locations in which "Jehovah" appears in the *New World Translation* text. This information includes the most ancient Greek texts for that passage, the interlinear (English) translation of the Greek text, and supporting information regarding the documents used to substantiate the use of "Jehovah" in the *New World Translation*.

It should be expected, therefore, that the evidence would lead to a logical proof of the correct English translation. Consequently, it is surprising to find irreconcilable differences presented by the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* for the 237 "Jehovah" passages as follows:

- 1. In most cases, Kyrios (Lord) is the Greek word used in the most accurate Greek texts. Kyrios (Lord) is almost always verifiable to within approximately 200 years of the actual writing of the original Christian Greek Scripture text.
- 2. In most instances outside of the 237 "Jehovah" references, the Greek word Kyrios (Lord) (when used as a title) is identified with the person of Jesus Christ by the *New World Translation*. (Kyrios is translated as "Lord" in reference to Jesus 406\* times.<sup>35</sup>)
- 3. The appropriateness of the Tetragrammaton for the 237 "Jehovah" passages is derived only from later Hebrew translations. The earliest supporting evidence comes from 1385, with the bulk of the evidence coming from 1599. In fact, no direct textual evidence of any kind is given by the Watch Tower Society to show that the Tetragrammaton is in the original Christian Greek Scriptures.
- 4. The Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society teaches that the Christian Greek text is trustworthy. That statement would lead us to believe that Kyrios (the "Lord" as Jesus Christ) is to be identified either *with*—or directly *as*—the addressee in these 237 passages.
- 5. In spite of the conflict that the above information introduces, the Watch Tower Bible and Tract

Society also teaches that the Tetragrammaton was used in these 237 passages. Thus, in the same *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*, we are told that both "Lord" and "Jehovah" are the proper translation choices in each single instance.

#### A DISCOVERY CONCERNING MANUSCRIPT DATES

Mike had not reached the conclusion he had expected from his Tetragrammaton study. It was true that he had learned much about the Tetragrammaton in the Christian Greek Scriptures, but he was surprised and disappointed in the conflicting information he found in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*. His findings could be summarized in five statements regarding each of the 237 "Jehovah" passages:

- 1. In most cases Kyrios (Lord) is the Greek word used in the oldest and most reliable Greek texts.
- 2. The New World Translation identifies the Greek word Kyrios (Lord) most frequently with Jesus.
- 3. The appropriateness of the Tetragrammaton for the 237 "Jehovah" passages is derived only from Hebrew translations dating after 1385.
- 4. The Watch Tower Society teaches that the Christian Greek text is trustworthy.
- 5. The Watch Tower Society teaches, nonetheless, that evidence from translations made after 1385 are more reliable textual confirmations of the Tetragrammaton than are the early Greek manuscripts.

Mike's conflict with Sharon added to his disappointment in the unexpected results of his study. He took responsibility for the way he had handled his discussion of it with Sharon. Nonetheless, he was angry and disappointed that this should have caused the animosity between them which had been absent since they became Witnesses.

Mike dropped his Tetragrammaton study for a number of months. A busy summer at the garage, a needed family vacation—and most of all, a reluctance on his part to return to it—kept him occupied with other things. Still, during this time he thought through the implications of what he had learned.

What are the implications of this translation conflict? Probably the best answer would recognize that much will depend on the particular verse in question.

In a first category which includes a small number of the 237 verses, the presence of either "Lord" or "Jehovah" would direct our thinking to one or the other as the subject of the verse, but it would not represent any new understanding in theology. For example, Romans 14:4, in the context of eating meat, says:

"Indeed, he will be made to stand, for Jehovah can make him stand," (according to the *New World Translation*) or,

"Indeed, he will be made to stand, for Kyrios ([the]Lord) can make him stand," (according to the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*).

According to the *New World Translation*, the one eating meat will stand before Jehovah. According to the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*, the one eating meat will stand before Kyrios ([the] Lord). Neither the one statement nor the other changes our understanding of who Jesus is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In a small number of these 406 instances, a capitalized "Lord" may refer to someone other than Jesus in the Christian Greek Scriptures.

in relationship to Jehovah.

However, in a second category, the difference between "Lord" or "Jehovah" becomes noteworthy. In the majority of these 237 verses, it is of great significance that both "Jehovah" and Kyrios (Lord) are presented as equal choices by the *New World Translation* and the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*. In these verses, choosing between "Jehovah" on the one hand, or "Lord" on the other, would represent a significant change in our understanding of theology. These verses fall into two broad categories. First, many of these verses are identified with Hebrew Scripture quotations. Thus, if Kyrios (Lord) is the addressee in the Greek Christian Scriptures, "the Lord" is identified as being worthy of receiving an equivalent form of address as Jehovah of the Hebrew Scriptures. We see such examples as:

"As I live,' says Jehovah, 'to me every knee will bend down..." (Romans 14:11, according to the *New World Translation*, as quoted from Isaiah 45:23), in contrast to,

"'As I live,' says Kyrios ([the] Lord), 'to me every knee will bend down..." (Romans 14:11, according to the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*, as quoted from Isaiah 45:23). In a similar example we also see,

"Listen! Someone is crying out in the wilderness, 'Prepare the way of Jehovah..." (Matthew 3:3, according to the *New World Translation*, as quoted from Isaiah 40:3), in contrast to,

"Listen! Someone is crying out in the wilderness, 'Prepare the way of Kyrios ([the] Lord)..." (Matthew 3:3, according to the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*, as quoted from Isaiah 45:23).<sup>35</sup>

Secondly, other such verses assign the addressee attributes or equality with Jehovah. Thus, if Kyrios (Lord) is the addressee in the Greek Christian Scriptures, "the Lord" would be identified as receiving glory or attributes reserved for Jehovah. We see such examples as:

With [the tongue] we bless Jehovah, even [the] Father... (James 3:9, according to the New World Translation),

in contrast to.

With [the tongue] we bless Kyrios ([the] Lord), even [the] Father... (James 3:9, according to the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*),

Again, we also see another example,

"We thank you, Jehovah God, the Almighty, the one who is and who was, because you have taken your great power and begun ruling as king," (Revelation 12:17, according to the *New World Translation*),

in contrast to,

"We thank you, Kyrios (Lord) God, the Almighty, the one who is and who was, because you have taken your great power and begun ruling as king," (Revelation 12:17, according to the Kingdom Interlinear Translation).

After their children were back in school in the fall, Mike began a serious review of his Tetragrammaton notebook. In his earlier study, he realized that there was a significant discrepancy in the dating of the texts supporting Kyrios (Lord) and Jehovah. As he reviewed the material, this anomaly struck him with even greater force than it had earlier.

Mike realized that the Watch Tower Society's effort to prove the existence of the Tetragrammaton in the Greek Christian Scriptures was, in fact, a greater proof that Kyrios (Lord) was the more appropriate selection. He merely needed to compare the dates given by the Kingdom Interlinear Translation for each "Jehovah" reference to see that Kyrios (Lord) had a substantially earlier textual claim than did the Tetragrammaton.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> There are a total of 112 verses in the Greek Christian Scriptures which either directly or indirectly attribute a quotation to Jehovah from the Hebrew Scriptures. All of these verses would be included in this category.

A careful review of any "Jehovah" footnote reveals an interesting comparison of textual dates. We looked earlier at the "Jehovah" footnote of Revelation 1:8. Since we are already familiar with that verse and its footnote, we can refer to it again and pay particular attention to the dates of the texts which are identified. The footnote in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* appears like this:

#### 8\* Jehovah, J<sup>7,8,13,14,16-18,22-24</sup>; Lord, ÅAVgSyh.

It would be helpful to identify each of the references given for both the Tetragrammaton and "Lord" in this verse. They are listed by reference symbol, identification of the version or Greek manuscript, and by date as listed in the introductory material in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*. We start with the information listed for various versions of the Greek Scriptures translated into Hebrew, each of which uses the Tetragrammaton:

$J^7$	Christian Greek Scriptures in Hebrew; Elias Hutter.	1599
<sub>1</sub> 8	Christian Greek Scriptures in Hebrew; William Robertson.	1661
J <sup>13</sup>	Christian Greek Scriptures in Hebrew; A. McCaul and others.	1838
$J^{14}$	Christian Greek Scriptures in Hebrew; John Christian	1846
	Reichardt.	
$I^{16}$	Christian Greek Scriptures in Hebrew; John Christian	1866
,	Reichardt and Joachim H. R. Blesenthal.	
$J^{17}$	Christian Greek Scriptures in Hebrew; Franz Delitzsch.	1877
$J^{18}$	Christian Greek Scriptures in Hebrew; Isaac Salkinson.	1885
<sub>I</sub> 22	Christian Greek Scriptures in Hebrew; United Bible	1979
,	Societies.	
$J^{23}$	Christian Greek Scriptures in Hebrew; J. Bauchet.	1975
J <sup>24</sup>	A Literal Translation of the New Testament; Herman	1863
,	Heinfetter.	

From this same verse, a similar – though shorter – list<sup>36</sup> is given for Kyrios.

Å	Sinaitic MS. An uncial Greek manuscript.	4th cent
A	Alexandrine MS. An uncial Greek manuscript.	5th cent
V g	Latin Vulgate. Revision of Old Latin by Eusebius Jerome.	405 CE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> The number of references to Kyrios (Lord) passages in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* is scant only because the editors have chosen to refer to so few of the existing Greek manuscripts. As noted earlier, there are 5,000 Greek manuscripts available today. These manuscripts are uniform in their use of Kyrios (or Theos) rather than the Tetragrammaton. (The United Bible Society's Greek Scripture textual apparatus, which shows all textual variants in Greek manuscripts, was consulted for each of the 237 "Jehovah" references. This volume lists *all* major Greek Scripture manuscript variations from which translators must choose. The following tabulation was made for each of the "Jehovah" references. 71 of the 237 references are specifically cited. A discussion of Kyrios (Lord) and Theos (God, in a small number of instances) as the choice for the specific verse occurs 31 times. (The discussion of the textual preference for Kyrios at Revelation 18:8 and 19:6 is particularly noteworthy.) *The presence of the Tetragrammaton is never mentioned, however, for any of these 71 verses, and is therefore not considered as a textual variant in any known Greek manuscript.* Further, because the remaining 166 references are not mentioned, we are assured that no basis for textual variants exists in any of the 237 "Jehovah" references.

S Syriac Peshitta Version. 464 y CE

Notice the information which is available regarding version and manuscript dates. In the case of the Hebrew versions which were consulted for the Tetragrammaton, this verse (Revelation 1:8) lists ten sources. The earliest version is 1599, while the latest version is 1981. In contrast, two Greek manuscripts of the 4th (301 to 400 C.E.) and 5th (401 to 500 C.E.) centuries are cited for this verse in support of the Greek word Kyrios (Lord).

The contrast is interesting. The translators of the *New World Translation* choose to use the word "Jehovah" rather than "Lord" in 237 selected verses. In doing so, 26 Hebrew versions (or version portions)<sup>38\*</sup> dating no earlier than 1385 are given more weight as biblical texts than are the Greek manuscripts from as early as 300 C.E. (the beginning of the 4th century) which support the word "Lord."

During Mike's study of the 237 "Jehovah" references, he had reviewed many *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* footnote dates. He was familiar with the early Greek manuscripts dating from the 4th and 5th centuries (301 to 500 C.E.) and the Hebrew versions dating from 1385 and later. He had copied each of these dates into his notebook numerous times.

Still, it was not until he came back to his study after a summer break that he saw the true significance of these dates. The translators of the *New World Translation* chose to use the divine name in 237 select verses on the basis of supporting evidence from Hebrew versions dated 1385 and later. In contrast, the earliest evidence available for the Greek word Kyrios (Lord), referred to in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation's* footnotes, was from reliable Greek manuscripts as early as 300 C.E.

Mike made a summary entry in his notebook:

 $^{1}$  This includes  $J^{1}$  through  $J^{27}$ , excepting  $J^{20}$ .  $J^{20}$  (A Concordance to the Greek Testament by Moulton and Geden is not counted as a version reference, though it is an important reference to the Tetragrammaton in the Greek Scriptures.

SUMMARY. The footnote information supplied with each "Jehovah" reference in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* leads to the following conclusions:

- 1. For each of the 237 "Jehovah" verses found in the *New World Translation*, the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* has given two sets of dates. The earliest dates verify that Kyrios (Lord) was in all Greek manuscripts between 301 and 400 C.E. The later dates support the Tetragrammaton in Hebrew versions with publication dates of 1385 and later.
- 2. The majority of these 237 references would represent a significant change in our understanding of theology if Kyrios (Lord) is the correct reading.
- a) First, because many of these verses are identified with Hebrew Scripture quotations, "the Lord" (Jesus) would be identified as worthy of receiving an equivalent form of address as Jehovah of the Hebrew Scriptures.
- b) Secondly, other such verses assign the addressee attributes or equality with Jehovah. Thus, "the Lord" (Jesus) would receive glory or attributes reserved for Jehovah.
- 3. The translators of the *New World Translation* choose to use the word "Jehovah" rather than "Lord" in 237 selected references. Ten Hebrew versions dating from 1385 are given more biblical authority than are the Greek manuscripts from the 4th century C.E. which use the word "Lord."

#### MIKE'S SEARCH FOR THE ORIGINAL GREEK TEXT

Mike was dissatisfied with the results of his study of the Tetragrammaton.

He was not dissatisfied with the effectiveness of his research. In fact, he was quite happy with the information he had discovered in the helpful *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* footnotes. His dissatisfaction came from the way in which the New World Bible Translation Committee had used that same information.

- (1) For each of the 237 "Jehovah" references found in the *New World Translation*, two sets of dates are given. The earliest dates verify that the word Kyrios (Lord) was in all Greek manuscripts between 301 and 400 C.E. The later dates supporting the Tetragrammaton in Hebrew versions are dated from 1385 and later.
- (2) In spite of the evidence for the Greek word Kyrios, the translators of the *New World Translation's* Christian Scriptures chose to insert the word "Jehovah" 237 times rather than translate Kyrios as "Lord." Thus, ten Hebrew versions dating from 1385 are given more importance than the Greek manuscripts from the 4th century.

Mike had no formal training in the Greek language. He was uncertain as he proceeded with the last portion of his study, yet he sensed that there would be a better answer to his "Tetragrammaton dilemma" if he could do limited research with the original manuscripts.

During this time of indecision, Mike reviewed his notebook numerous times. He also reviewed the introductory materials in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*. Nonetheless, he had little sense of direction to complete his study.

His questions concerning the use of "Jehovah" in the *New World Translation*, however, were growing. He realized that it was impossible to be certain about the Tetragrammaton's presence in the Christian Greek Scriptures. Nonetheless, he remained active in congregation study and fellowship and fulfilled his monthly commitments in field service.

One Friday morning the following February, Mike needed to complete some garage business near the large central public library. He put his notebook and *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* in his truck. Business was generally slow enough during this time of year that his employees could manage the shop. After finishing his business, Mike went to the library.

Mike had a vague idea of what he wanted to accomplish. All "J" reference sources were listed in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* section entitled EXPLANATION OF THE SYMBOLS USED. Many of these brief "J" reference descriptions included a final notation which said, "(A copy is found at the New York Public Library.)" He decided to search for available "J" reference texts in his own public library.

The library computer search system was simple to use. Of all of the Hebrew versions listed, he most wanted to find the J<sup>7</sup> version by Elias Hutter from 1599.<sup>37</sup> Though Mike found bibliographic information about Elias Hutter, he did not find a copy of his Hebrew version.

However, Mike did find one Hebrew version used as a Tetragrammaton reference. It was  $\mathsf{I}^{18}.^{38}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> This is the most frequently cited Hebrew version in the "J" references. It is an important citation because it is the earliest *complete* Christian Greek Scripture in Hebrew. Other earlier Hebrew version citations are limited to portions of the Gospels or the book of Hebrews.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Three separate editions of this Hebrew translation are listed as J<sup>18</sup>. (Each edition contains the same Hebrew text.) The first was published in 1885 and the second in 1939. The third was published in 1941 with an English side text. Though the imprint date is not given, the edition we consulted was published by the Trinitarian Bible Society of London and includes the English side text. This version can be definitively identified as J<sup>18</sup> from two *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* footnote references which cite only this source. The first footnote is at Acts 22:17 and the second at Romans 14:4. The description of this Hebrew translation is given in the 1969 edition of the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* as:

J<sup>18</sup> Greek Scriptures in Hebrew. In London, England, in 1885, a new Hebrew translation of the Christian Greek Scriptures was published. This new translation was commenced by Isaac Salkinson and completed after his death by Christian David Ginsburg. Our oldest copy is of the third edition published in 1891. This has been compared with the small

Information from this Hebrew Christian Scripture is important enough that it has been reproduced on pages 42 and 43. Refer particularly to the title page shown on page 42.

Mike could readily use the text because it was printed in both English and Hebrew. He compared a number of references cited by the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*. Because he was familiar with the characters of the Tetragrammaton, he was able to locate the divine name in the Hebrew text.<sup>39</sup>

Refer to the copy of Luke 1:16-34 on page 43.<sup>40</sup> Luke 1:16, 17, 25, 28, and 32 all contain "Jehovah" references. In each of these verses, the use of the Tetragrammaton can be seen. The footnote material for these verses appears in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* as follows:

**16**\*,**17**<sup>#</sup>Jehovah,J<sup>7-18,22-24</sup>;Lord, ÅAB. **25**\* Jehovah, J<sup>7-18,22,23</sup>; Lord, ÅAB.

**28**\* Jehovah, J<sup>5,7-18,22,23</sup>; Lord, ÅAB. **32**\* Jehovah, J<sup>5,-18,22-24</sup>; Lord, ÅAB.

Mike was satisfied. He spent a limited time in the search process, but each reference confirmed the footnote information found in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*. The Tetragrammaton was used in these versions just as the footnotes indicated.

Mike thought he was finished. He had done as much with the  $J^{18}$  reference as he was able. He was not in a hurry, and was randomly thumbing through the volume. He turned to the title page.

And then Mike made a startling discovery!

Not only had he been looking at the information for months, he had been writing it in his notebook.

But he had never completely understood what he was now seeing! The English title page read:

# THE NEW TESTAMENT OF OUR LORD AND SAVIOUR JESUS CHRIST

Translated out of the original Greek: and with the former translations diligently compared and revised, by his majesty's special command

Mike reread the words repeatedly:

Translated out of the original Greek: and with the former translations diligently compared...

Mike knew that the word "version" meant translation. And yet, while studying the

edition published by the Trinitarian Bible Society, London, England, in 1939, and also with the Hebrew-English New Testament published in 1941 by the same Society.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> However, he discounted the vowel points. All the "J" reference texts are now printed with these pronunciation markers. (That is, J¹ through J² are modern Hebrew documents produced on present-day printing presses.) Refer to the footnote on page 4 for an explanation of the Hebrew vowel point. Refer also to the *New World Translation Reference Edition*, 1984, page 1570, Appendix 3A for more complete information.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> These references were chosen simply because of the convenient number of "Jehovah" passages represented on a single page.

Tetragrammaton in the Christian Scriptures, it had never occurred to him what was being said.

All the textual evidence which the Kingdom Interlinear Translation was using to substantiate that the Tetragrammaton was in the original writings of the Christian Greek Scriptures was itself translated from original Greek language manuscripts.

The New World Bible Translation Committee used 26 Hebrew versions—which are themselves translations of the original Greek text which is known not to contain the Tetragrammaton—to prove that the Tetragrammaton was used in the original Greek Scriptures.

Why did the translators not ask a simple question concerning the text and its word origins? They should merely have asked, "What word does the original Greek manuscript use?

There were only two possible answers. Either the Tetragrammaton appeared in the original Greek text and was copied by the Hebrew version translators, or the Tetragrammaton was merely a translation of an original Greek word. In either case, it is the Greek text which gives the correct answer.

And Mike knew that the answer was already given in the Kingdom Interlinear Translation.

The best evidence available—in fact, the *only* evidence available—shows that *the* original Christian Greek Scriptures used the word Kyrios (Lord).

Mike knew that it could not be argued that the Hebrew versions came from more reliable ancient sources which have now been lost. All the Hebrew versions used as "J" references were translated after 1385, and the most frequently quoted early Hebrew translation was published in 1599. These were not translations from ancient, lost manuscripts. These translations came from the same Greek texts used for the King James version in 1611 which can still be examined today.

Figure x. The actual title page of  $J^{18}$ .

Has it occurred to you that the Hebrew Christian versions are translations made from the Greek text? Do you realize that the entire footnote evidence given in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* for the "restoration of the divine name" to the *New World Translation* is based on the very Greek texts which the translators are disputing?

Witnesses worldwide have made a wonderful contribution in reminding Christendom that God *does* have a name. Regrettably, many in these denominations have failed to understand that truth. Christendom has been negligently slow in learning to use His wonderful name.

The topic we are evaluating in this study, however, is not whether God has a name. He most certainly does, and his name should be used. Rather, the topic is what God communicated to man in his written Scriptures. No translator is ever justified in altering sacred Scripture. There is no Greek textual evidence which suggests that the 237 references to Jehovah in the New World Translation were originally written as the Tetragrammaton by the inspired Christian writers. All known evidence—including the evidence presented in the Kingdom Interlinear Translation's footnotes—verifies that the original writers used the Greek word Kyrios (Lord) in these passages.

#### Mike made a brief entry in his notebook before leaving the library.

SUMMARY. In spite of the fact that certain Hebrew translations of the Christian Greek Scriptures use the Tetragrammaton, there is no textual evidence in any Christian Greek manuscripts that the name "Jehovah" should be reinstated to the 237 passages of the *New World Translation*.

#### THE CRUCIAL DECISION

The decision to honestly evaluate truth is one of the most crucial decisions any of us will ever make.

Whenever we confront new information or insights concerning ourselves, our family, or our values, our first reaction is to retreat in order to avoid change.

At no time is this more true than when we confront new information regarding our faith. No matter what we believe, we tend to avoid that which causes us to rethink what we have been taught.

But we need to be honest with both Jehovah God and ourselves. We must be willing to remain open to the simple truths of God's Word. We must be certain that our faith conforms to the truth of Scripture. This is the only way to be assured that our faith is the true faith which pleases Jehovah.

#### The Importance of the Tetragrammaton

The presence of the Tetragrammaton in the Christian Greek Scriptures concerns much more than the reliability of the *New World Translation* of which its foreword says,<sup>41</sup> "The foremost feature of this translation is the restoration of the divine name to its rightful place in the English text." *If the Tetragrammaton was not used by the original writers of the Christian Greek Scriptures, then our understanding of Jesus must be reevaluated.* 

In the early parts of this study, we looked at a number of verses which show this to be the case. We will review just two of those verses here.

When the Apostle John was on the Island of Patmos, he was given a revelation which is now the last book in our Bible. John extols a divine being numerous times throughout the book. At Revelation 1:8 he says:

"I am the Alpha and the Omega" says [and then John wrote either the "Lord" or "Jehovah" whom he identifies as God], "the One who is and who was and who is coming, the Almighty."

Again, at Revelation 11:17 John writes,

"We thank you, [again, John wrote either the "Lord" or "Jehovah" whom he again identifies as God], the Almighty, the one who is and who was, because you have taken your great power and begun ruling as king."

If the Apostle John used the Tetragrammaton in these two verses, it is clear that Jehovah was the one John referred to as "God" and the "Almighty." On the other hand, if John used the Greek word Kyrios (Lord), then the addressee of these two verses is the one to whom the title Kyrios applies. John used the title Kyrios most frequently to identify Jesus throughout the book of Revelation. Therefore, if John wrote Kyrios (Lord) in these two verses, the Apostle himself identified Kyrios (the "Lord") as "God" and the "Almighty."

Your understanding of the identity of Jesus is entirely dependent on whether the Tetragrammaton or Kyrios is used in these and similar verses.

#### **Inspiration and Textual Evidence**

How can we know whether the inspired Christian writers used the Greek word Kyrios

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> The New World Translation of the Holy Scriptures Reference Edition, 1984, page 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> This becomes apparent when one evaluates the 23 Kyrios references found in the book of Revelation. These include Revelation 1:8, 4:8 & 11, 7:14, 11:4, 8, 15, 17, 14:13, 15:3- 4, 16:7, 17:14 (twice), 18:8, 19:6 & 16 (twice), 21:22, 22:5, 6, 20, and 21. A form of Kyrios is also used at Revelation 1:10. Each of these occurrences can be verified in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*.

(Lord) or the Tetragrammaton? We first considered Jehovah as the Author of the texts and the accuracy of the Greek manuscript copies we have today.

- 1. Because Jehovah himself is the Author of Scripture, we can be certain that the *original* writings were without error.
- 2. The Greek text of the Christian Greek Scriptures which we have today is essentially free of transcription errors. We know this to be true because we can examine over 5,000 ancient Greek manuscripts. Some of these manuscripts date to within a hundred years or less of the time when the originals were written.
- <sup>3</sup>. The Greek text published by the Watch Tower Society in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* uses only the Greek word Kyrios (Lord) and never the Tetragrammaton. Generally, Kyrios is translated as "Lord" and would be a reference to Jesus Christ. "Lord" is the preferred choice of the *New World Translation* in 406 cases. No Greek textual evidence is given for *any* use of the Tetragrammaton in the original Greek text.<sup>43</sup>

After considering the actual Greek manuscript evidence, we should compare the dates supporting either the Greek word Kyrios (Lord) or the Tetragrammaton.

- 1. The footnotes in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* clearly document the presence of the Greek word Kyrios (Lord) from the 3rd and 4th centuries C.E. (That is, 201 to 400 C.E.) In at least one instance, the documentation is as early as *circa* 200 C.E.
- 2. These same "J" footnotes also refer to the Tetragrammaton in certain Hebrew versions. The earliest date is 1385.

Thus, the evidence for Kyrios (Lord) comes from ancient Greek manuscripts dating between 201 and 400 C.E. In contrast, the evidence for the Tetragrammaton comes from translations made after 1385.

#### **Translation Evidence**

We are asking whether the inspired Christian writers used the Greek word Kyrios (Lord) or the Tetragrammaton. The answer to this question will greatly influence our understanding of who Jesus is. Consequently, we want to base our final conclusions on the most reliable evidence available.

Have you fully considered the basis on which your personal conclusions are founded? You already know that the Greek text for the Christian Scriptures published by the Watch Tower Society in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* is highly reliable. The *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* uses the Greek word Kyrios (Lord) in the 237 "Jehovah" references rather than the Tetragrammaton. You also know that all reliable Christian Scripture translations—including the Hebrew versions—have been made from Greek texts which do not contain the Tetragrammaton.

How, then, can the Hebrew translations of the Christian Greek Scriptures become a valid proof of the Tetragrammaton's presence when the Greek texts from which these translations were made do not contain the Tetragrammaton?

Earlier we examined one of these Hebrew versions identified as J<sup>18</sup>. What does the English title page of this version tell you?

# THE NEW TESTAMENT OF OUR LORD AND SAVIOUR JESUS CHRIST

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> The Watch Tower Society gives no evidence of the Tetragrammaton in any Christian Greek Scripture manuscripts. However, evidence for the Tetragrammaton in certain copies of the *Septuagint* (LXX) and Aquila's Greek version of the Hebrew Scriptures can be readily verified.

Translated out of the original Greek: and with the former translations diligently compared and revised, by his majesty's special command

#### Does it really matter?

Many times when one of Jehovah's Witnesses is confronted with the assertion that the Tetragrammaton was not used by the inspired Christian Scripture writers, the answer is given, "It isn't critical whether or not the original writers used the Tetragrammaton. The absence of the Tetragrammaton certainly does not change my faith!"

Yet the true answer is just the opposite. Your faith is entirely dependent on whether or not the inspired Christian writers used the Tetragrammaton in the Christian Greek Scriptures.

Try this simple test to verify your answer. For the next month as you follow the talks, Bible lessons, and discussions from the *Watchtower* and *Awake* magazines, do an evaluation in the privacy of your own mind. Each time you read or hear the word "Jehovah" read from a Christian Greek Scripture verse, substitute the word "Lord Jesus" in your mind.<sup>44</sup> If the inspired Christian writers truly wrote the word Kyrios (Lord), what were they saying about Jesus?

What do you discover from your "test?" Is the choice of the word used by the inspired Christian writers—whether it is the Tetragrammaton or Kyrios (Lord)—important to *your* faith?

You will soon realize that your understanding of the person of Jesus is entirely dependent on whether many of these 237 verses read "Jehovah" or "Lord."

#### Conclusion

Difficult as the decision to honestly evaluate truth is, are you willing to accurately consider the lack of evidence for the use of the Tetragrammaton in the Christian Greek Scriptures?

If the Tetragrammaton was not used at Revelation 1:8, then John was quoting Jesus as saying:

"I am the Alpha and the Omega" says the Lord God, "the One who is and who was and who is coming, the Almighty."

Again, at Revelation 11:17, John was saying to Jesus:

"We thank you, Lord God, the Almighty, the one who is and who was, because you have taken your great power and begun ruling as king."

After considering Jehovah as the Author of Scripture and then evaluating the manuscripts themselves, we must consider the significance of the lack of evidence supporting the use of the Tetragrammaton in the Greek Scriptures.

- 1. The Greek word Kyrios (Lord) is clearly documented from the 3rd and 4th centuries C.E., whereas, the Hebrew versions which support the Tetragrammaton all date from 1385 or later.
- 2. The Christian Scriptures of the *New World Translation* use 26 Hebrew translations *from the Greek Christian Scriptures which were known not to contain the Tetragrammaton,* to prove that the Tetragrammaton was used in the original Christian Scriptures. All Hebrew Christian versions are mere translations of the Greek text.

#### The Crucial Decision

To what will each of us finally give our highest allegiance? Will it be to the pressures of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> This "test" should not be applied to Hebrew Scripture references. The divine name should be read as "Jehovah" in all cases in which the *New World Translation* has rendered it as such in the Hebrew Scriptures. However, this test can be done with almost all of the 237 "Jehovah" references in the Christian Greek Scriptures of the *New World Translation*. (However, there are exceptions in the Christian Scriptures as well. For example, this could not be done when Jesus himself was addressing the Father, or when Gospel writers were quoting Hebrew Scripture passages regarding the coming of Messiah. This topic has been fully developed in the book *The Tetragrammaton and the Christian Greek Scriptures*, in the chapter "The Indistinct Meaning of Kyrios." See the front inside cover for a further description of that book.)

friends? Will it be to the expectations of our families? Will it be to our congregation and the organization it represents?

Or will it be to Jehovah and his precious Word?

On the basis of the best evidence available from the Greek text, each of us must determine for ourselves who Jesus is.

According to the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation*, at Revelation 4:8 and 11 the Apostle John said:

"Holy, holy is the Lord (Kyrios) God, the Almighty, who was and who is and who is coming... You are worthy, Lord (Kyrios)," even our God, to receive the glory and the honor and the power, because you created all things, and because of your will they existed and were created."

33

## Appendix: Comparison of 237 "Jehovah" References

1	Information	n from <i>Kii</i>	ngdom Inter	1	Hebrew Scripture					
	Wa	atch Tow	er Bible and	Tract		Ξ				
Greek Scripture reference	Greek word used in K/T	English translation in K/7	Earliest manuscript date supporting "Lord" (or "God")	Earliest version date supporting "Jehovah"	Name used in the Rem Mond Transtation	Hebrew Scripture quotation using the divine name Bold font indicates J <sup>®O</sup> citation	Hebrew Soripture quotation referring to the divine name	Cross reference citation only	No quotation or reference to the Hebrew Scriptures	
Matthey	V									
1:20	Kurivou	Lord	301-400i	1537	Jehovah				X	
1:22	Kurivouii	Lord	301-400	1385	Jehovah	Is 7:14				
1:24	Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1385	Jehovah				X	
2:13	Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1385	Jehovah				X	
2:15	Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah		Ho 11:1			
2:19	Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1385	Jehovah				X	
3:3	Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1385	Jehovah	Is $40:3^{\mathrm{iii}}$				
4:4	qeou'iv	God	301-400	1385	Jehovah	Dt 8:3				
4:7	Kuvrion	Lord	301-400	1385	Jehovah	Dt 6:16				
4:10	Kuvrion	Lord	301-400	1385	Jehovah	Dt 6:13				
5:33	Kurivw/v	Lord	301-400	1385	Jehovah	Lv 19:12				
21:9	Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1385	Jehovah	Ps 118:26				
21:42	Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1385	Jehovah	Ps 118:23				
22:37	Kuvrion	Lord	301-400	1385	Jehovah	Dt 6:5				
22:44	Kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1385	Jehovah	Ps 110:1				
23:39	Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1385	Jehovah		Ps 118:26			
27:10	Kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1385	Jehovah	Zc 11:13				
28:2	Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1385	Jehovah				X	
Mark	1	r	1		<u>r</u>					
1:3	Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Is 40:3				
5:19	kuvriov"vi	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			Ex 18:8		
11:9	Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Ps 118:26				
12:11	Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Ps 118:23				
12:29	Kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Dt 6:4				
12:29	Kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Dt 6:4				
12:30	Kuvrion	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Dt 6:5				
12:36	Kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Ps 110:1				
13:20	Kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			Is 1:9		

Note 1: All footnotes appear at the end of this entry (pp. 222-224).

Note 2: Refer to pages 32-35 for an explanation of column headings.

kuriyou	Lord	301-400	1599	Iehovah			Iρ	
Kanvoa	Бога	301 100	10))	jenovan				
kuriyou	Lond	201 400	1500	I ah arrah				
				•			Xvii	
								χ
_						3.41.4.6		Χ
					T- 40.2	MI 4:6		
					IS 40:3		G 20.22	
				· -			+	
							Jr 1:19	
Kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			2 Sa 7:12	
Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			1 Sa 1:11	
Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			Х	
Kuvrion	Lord	301-400	1599	Iehovah			1 Sa 2·1	
				-			1 5α 2.1	χ
	-			,			+	χ
				•				χ
_					Ml 3:1			,
	-			-	1,11 0,1			χ
				,				χ
				•			X	
_	-							χ
					Ex 13:2			
	-							
_	-							χ
		301-400	1599					χ
	Lord		1599	Jehovah	Is 40:3			
_	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Dt 6:13			
_								
Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Is 61:1			
Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Is 61:2			
Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah				χ
Kuvrionviii	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Dt 6:5			
Kuriyou <sup>8</sup>	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah <sup>ix</sup>	Ps 118:26			
	Lord	301-400	1599		Ps 118:26			
							Fx 3·2	
				-	Pe 110·1		LX 3.2	
Itavilo	Loru	301-400	1077	jenovan	13110.1			<u> </u>
Kuriyoux	Lord	301-400	1500	Iehovah	Ic 40:3			1
		_						-
	+	_		-				+
	+	_	_	-		In E2.1		_
				-		18 35:1		
Kulivou <sup>10</sup>	Lord	301-400	1599	jenovan	18 33:1			_
	т -	001 400	1500	T.1 1	1			-
	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			İ	
kuvrie	Lord			71 1	T 2 4			
Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Jo 3:4			
Kurivou Kurivou	Lord Lord	301-400 301-400	1599 1599	Jehovah	Jo 3:5			
Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599		_			
	Kurivou Kuvrion Kuvrio" Kurivou	kurivou Lord Kurivou Lord Kurivou Lord Kurivou Lord Kurivow/ Lord Kurivow/ Lord Kurivow/ Lord Kuvrio" Lord Kuvrio" Lord Kurivou Lord Lord Kurivou Lord Lord Kurivou Lord Lord Lord Lord Kurivou Lord Lord Lord Lord Lord Lord Lord Lord	Kurivou   Lord   301-400     Kurivou   Lord   301-400     Kurivou   Lord   301-400     Kurivou   Lord   301-400     Kurivw/   Lord   301-400     Kurivw/   Lord   301-400     Kuvrio"   Lord   301-400     Kuvrio"   Lord   301-400     Kuvrio"   Lord   301-400     Kurivou   Lord	Kurivou   Lord   301-400   1599     Kurivou   Lord   301-400   1599     Kurivou   Lord   301-400   1599     Kuvrion   Lord   301-400   1599     Kuvrior   Lord   301-400   1599     Kuvrior   Lord   301-400   1599     Kuvrior   Lord   301-400   1599     Kuvrior   Lord   301-400   1599     Kurivou   Lord   301-400   1599     Kurivou   Lord   301-400   1599     Kurivou   Lord   301-400   1599     Kuvrior   Lord   301-400   1599     Kuvrior   Lord   301-400   1599     Kuvrior   Lord   301-400   1599     Kurivou   Lord   301-400   1	Kurivou   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Kurivw/   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Kurivi"   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Kuvrio"   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Kuvrio"   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Kurivou   Lord   301	Kurivou   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Kurivow   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Kurivow   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Kurivow   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Kurivou   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Ex 13:2   Kurivou   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Ex 13:2   Kurivou   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Is 40:3   Kurivou   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Is 61:1   Kurivou   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Is 61:2   Kurivou   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Is 61:1   Kurivou   Lo	Kurivou   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Kurivow   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Is 40:3   Kurio"   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Kurivou   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Ex 13:2   Kurivou   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Lord   301-40	Rurivou   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Kurivou   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Jr 1:19

Jehovah

Jehovah

Jo 2:32

Χ

2:39

2:47

Kuvrio"

kuvrio"

301-400

301-400

Lord

Lord

1599

1599

				1			1		
3:19	kurivou	Lord	301-400	1838	Jehovah				Χ
3:22	Kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Dt 18:15			
4:26	kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Ps 2:2			
4:29	kuvrie	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			Is 37:17	
Acts									
5:9	Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			Ex 17:2	
5:19	Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			Ps34:7	
7:31	Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1817	Jehovah		Ex 3:6	1 55 1.7	
7:33	kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1817	Jehovah	Ex 3:10	EX 0.0		
7:49	Kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1817	Jehovah	Is 66:1			
7:60	Kuvrie	Lord	301-400	1877	Jehovah				Х
8:22	kurivou	Lord	301-400	1885	Jehovah			Is 55:7	
8:24	kuvrion	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			Ex 8:8	
8:25	kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			LX 0.0	Х
8:26	Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah				Х
8:39	Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			1 Ki 18:12	- · ·
9:31	kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			Ps 86:11	
10:33	kurivou	Lord	201-300	1877	Jehovah				Х
11:21	Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah				X
12:7	Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			Ps 34:7	
12:11	kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			Ps 34:7	
12:17	kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			133,	Х
12:23	Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			2 Sa 24:17	
12:24	kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah				Х
13:2	kurivw/	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah				X
13:10	kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah				X
13:11	Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah				X
13:12	kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah				Х
13:44	qeou'	God	301-400	1877	Jehovah				Χ
13:47	kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah		Is 49:6		
13:48	qeou'	God	201-300	1599	Jehovah			Is 66:5	
13:49	kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah				Х
14:3	kurivw/	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah				Χ
14:23	kurivw/	Lord	301-400	1838	Jehovah				Χ
15:17	kuvrion	Lord	301-400	1661	Jehovah	Am 9:12			
15:17	Kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Am 9:13			
15:35	kurivou	Lord	301-400	1877	Jehovah				Χ
15:36	kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah				Χ
15:40	kurivou	Lord	301-400	1877	Jehovah				X
16:14	kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah				X
16:15	kurivw/	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah				X
16:32	qeou'	God	201-300	1599	Jehovah				X
18:21	qeou'	God	301-400	1877	Jehovah				X
18:25	kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah				X
19:20	kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	1			X
21:14 Romani	kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	j			X
Romans 4:3		God	301-400	1599	Ighorrah	Gn 15:6		<del>                                     </del>	
4:3	qeou' Kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah Jehovah	Ps 32:2		+	
9:28	Kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Is 10:23		+	
9:29	Kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Is 1:9			
10:13	Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Jo 2:32		+	
10:16	Kuvrie	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Is 53:1		
					, ,				

1.134   Kurivo	11:3	Kuvrie	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	I Ki 19:10	1		
12-11			1			_				
12:19						,	15 10:15			Χ
14.6						-		Dt 32:35		,,
14:6									Jr 35:19	
Romans	14:6			301-400	1599	Iehovah			01 33.13	Χ
14:6						, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
14:6		•	Lord	301-400	1599	Iehovah			Dc 02·1	
14:8										
14:8						-				
14:8										
14:11   Kuvrio"   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Ps 15:11		<b>_</b>							Es 4:16	
15:11   Kuvrion   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Ps 15:11								7 15 22		Х
1.30							Do 15.11	ls 45:23		
1:31			Lora	301-400	1599	Jenovan	PS 15:11			
2:16			Lord	201 400	1500	Iohorrah	Ion 0.24	1		
3:20   Kuvrio"   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Ps 94:11   Pr 21:2		_								
4:4										
4:19							1331111		Pr 21.2	
7:17									11 21.2	X
10:9									X	А
10:21   Kurivou   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Ez   41:22   10:22   Kuvrion   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Ez   34:14     10:24   Kurivou   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Ps   24:1     11:32   Kurivou   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Ps   24:1     11:32   Kurivou   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Ps   24:1     11:32   Kuvrio"   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Is   28:11     14:21   Kuvrio"   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Is   28:11			1					Nm 21:6	<del>                                     </del>	
10:21   Kurivou   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Ez   41:22   10:22   kuvrion   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Ez 34:14								14111 21.0	Ps 116:13	
10:22   kuvrion   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Ez 34:14									Ea	
10:22	10.21	Ranvoa	Lora	301-400	1377	jenovan				
10:26	10.00	Les es estados	T 1	201 400	1500	T.11.		E 2414	41:22	
11:32							Do 24.1	EZ 34:14		
14:21   Kuvrio"   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Is 28:11							15 24,1		D <sub>r</sub>	
14:21   Kuvrio"   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Is 28:11	11.52	Kulivou	Loru	J01- <del>1</del> 00	1030	Jenovan				
16:7	4 4 94	17 2 1	T 1	201 100	4500	7 1 1		1 20 44	3:11	
16:10   Kurivou   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah   Je						-		Is 28:11		v
2 Corinthians           3:16         Kuvrion         Lord         301-400         1599         Jehovah         Ex 34:34						-				
3:16			Loru	J01- <del>1</del> 00	1377	Jenovan				Λ
3:17		1	Lord	301-400	1599	Iehovah	Ex 34·34			
Si:17   Kurivou   Lord   301-400   1599   Jehovah     Is   61:1						-	EX 01.01			Χ
Simple   S			1	301-400		Jehovah			Is	
3:18         Kurivou         Lord         301-400         1599         Jehovah         Ps 138:5           3:18         kurivou         Lord         301-400         1599         Jehovah         Is 52:11         X           6:17         Kuvrio"         Lord         301-400         1599         Jehovah         Is 52:11         Is 43:6										
3:18         kurivou         Lord         301-400         1599         Jehovah         Is 52:11           6:17         Kuvrio"         Lord         301-400         1599         Jehovah         Is 52:11           6:18         Kuvrio"         Lord         301-400         1599         Jehovah         Is 43:6           8:21         Kurivou         Lord         301-400         1599         Jehovah         X           10:17         Kurivw/         Lord         301-400         1599         Jehovah         Jr 9:24         Pr 29:26           Galatians           3:6         qew/         God         201-300         1599         Jehovah         Gn 15:6         Image: Graph of the property of the	3:18	Kuriyou	Lord	301-400	1599	Iehovah				
6:17         Kuvrio"         Lord         301-400         1599         Jehovah         Is 52:11         1s 43:6         1s 43:						-				Y
6:18         Kuvrio"         Lord         301-400         1599         Jehovah         Is 43:6         X           8:21         Kurivou         Lord         301-400         1599         Jehovah         X           10:17         Kurivw/         Lord         301-400         1599         Jehovah         Jr 9:24         Pr 29:26           10:18         kuvrio"         Lord         301-400         1599         Jehovah         Pr 29:26           Galatians           3:6         qew/         God         201-300         1599         Jehovah         Gn 15:6         Image: Graph of the control o								Is 52·11		Λ
8:21         Kurivou         Lord         301-400         1599         Jehovah         Jr 9:24           10:17         Kurivw/         Lord         301-400         1599         Jehovah         Jr 9:24           10:18         kuvrio"         Lord         301-400         1599         Jehovah         Pr 29:26           Galatians           3:6         qew/         God         201-300         1599         Jehovah         Gn 15:6         Image: Graph of the color of the colo										
10:17         Kurivw/         Lord         301-400         1599         Jehovah         Jr 9:24         Pr 29:26           10:18         kuvrio"         Lord         301-400         1599         Jehovah         Pr 29:26           Galatians           3:6         qew/         God         201-300         1599         Jehovah         Gn 15:6         Image: Graph of the property										Χ
Galatians       3:6     qew/ God 201-300 1599 Jehovah Gn 15:6       Ephesians       2:21     kurivw/ Lord 301-400 1599 Jehovah Gn 15:6       5:17     kurivou Lord 301-400 1661 Jehovah Si:19 kurivw/ Lord 301-400 1599 Jehovah Ps							Jr 9:24			
Galatians         3:6       qew/ God       201-300       1599       Jehovah       Gn 15:6	10:18			301-400	1599	Jehovah			Pr 29:26	
3:6         qew/ God         201-300         1599         Jehovah         Gn 15:6           Ephesians           2:21         kurivw/ Lord         301-400         1599         Jehovah         Zc 6:12           5:17         kurivou         Lord         301-400         1661         Jehovah         X           5:19         kurivw/         Lord         301-400         1599         Jehovah         Ps	Galatia	ns	•		•	•		•		
Ephesians         2:21       kurivw/       Lord       301-400       1599       Jehovah       Zc       6:12         5:17       kurivou       Lord       301-400       1661       Jehovah       X         5:19       kurivw/       Lord       301-400       1599       Jehovah       Ps			God	201-300	1599	Jehovah	Gn 15:6			
2:21         kurivw/         Lord         301-400         1599         Jehovah         Zc         6:12           5:17         kurivou         Lord         301-400         1661         Jehovah         X           5:19         kurivw/         Lord         301-400         1599         Jehovah         Ps	Ephesia	ns	1		L	<u>l</u>	I		1	
5:17 kurivou Lord 301-400 1661 Jehovah X 5:19 kurivw/ Lord 301-400 1599 Jehovah Ps			Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			7c	
5:17         kurivou         Lord         301-400         1661         Jehovah         X           5:19         kurivw/         Lord         301-400         1599         Jehovah         Ps										
5:19 kurivw/ Lord 301-400 1599 Jehovah P <sub>S</sub>	5.17	kuriyou	Lord	301-400	1661	Iehovah			0.12	Y
						-			D	^
33:2	5:19	Kurivw/	Lora	301-400	1599	jenovan				
									33:2	

6.4	Kuriyou	Lond	201 400	1500	Iohorrah			I Dr	
6:4	Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			Dt	
								6:7	
6:7	kurivw/	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah				X
6:8	kurivou	Lord	301-400	1863	Jehovah			Ps	
								24:5	
Colossia	ans				Į.			1	l
1:10	kurivou	Lord	301-400	1661	Jehovah			Mc	
	110				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			4:5	
3:13	kuvrio"	Tand	- 200	1975	Talaassala				
		Lord	c. 200		Jehovah			Jr 31:34	
3:16	qew/`	God	c. 200	1599	Jehovah	1 Ch 16:23			
3:22	kuvrion	Lord	301-400	1885	Jehovah			Pr	
								8:13	
3:23	kurivw/	Lord	201-300	1599	Jehovah			Ps	
								9:1	
3:24	kurivw/	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			7.1	Х
3:24	KUIIVW/	Loru	301-400	1399	Jenovan				Λ
1 Thosa	alonians								
1:111ess	kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			Т	1
1:0	Kurivou	Loru	301-400	1399	Jenovan			Is	
								39:5	
4:6	kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			Ps	
								94:1	
4:15	kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah				Χ
5:2	Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Zp 1:14			
	alonians				,	r ·			
2:2	Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1877	Jehovah	Zp 1:14			
					-	Zp 1.14			
2:13	Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1838	Jehovah				
3:1	kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			Is 38:4	
2 Timot	hy								
1:18	kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			Zp	
								1	
2:19	Kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Nm 16:5			
2:19	Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1661	Jehovah		Is 52:11		
4:14	kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			Ps	
Hebrew	70	İ			<u> </u>	I		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
2:13	qeov"	God	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Is 8:18		1	
7:21	Kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Ps 110:4			
8:2	kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	13110.4	Ex 25:9		
8:8	Kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Jer 31:31	17, 20.7		
8:9	Kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Jer 31:32			
8:10	Kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Jer 31:33			
8:11	kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Jer 31:34			
10:16	Kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Jer 31:33			
10:30	Kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Ps 135:14			
12:5	Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Pr 3:11			
12:6	Kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1574	Jehovah	Pr 3:12			
13:6	Kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1537	Jehovah	Ps 118:6			
James									
1:7	kurivou	Lord	301-400	1661	Jehovah				
1:12	evphgge-	he	301-400	1599	Jehovah				
1.12	ivlatoxii								

2:23	qew/`	God	301-400	1846	Jehovah	Gn 15:6			
2:23	qeou'	God	301-400	1877	Jehovah		Is 41:8		
3:9	kuvrion	Lord	301-400	1885	Jehovah	Ps 34:1			
4:10	Kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			2 Ch 7:14	
4:15	kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			Ps 143:10	
5:4	Kurivou	Lord		1599	Jehovah		Dt 24:15		
5:10	Kurivou	Lord		1599			Dt 24.13	2 Ch 36:17	
5:11	Kurivou	Lord		1661	Jehovah	Job 42:10			
5:11	kuvrio"	Lord		1599	-	Ps 103:8			
5:14	kurivou	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah				
5:15	kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Ho 6:11			
1 Peter	1		•		1	1	1	•	
1:25	Kurivouxi	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Is 40:5			
3:12	Kurivou <sup>13</sup>		301-400	1599	Jehovah	Ps 34:16			
3:12						Ps 34:17			
	Kurivou <sup>13</sup>	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	FS 34:17			
2 Peter		1	T = a : -						
2:9	Kuvrio" <sup>13</sup>	Lord	301-400	1661	Jehovah	Ps 34:19			
2:11	Kurivw/ <sup>13</sup>	Lord	301-400	1838	Jehovah			Zc 3:2	
3:8	Kurivw/ <sup>13</sup>	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah		Ps 90:4		
3:9	Kuvrio"13	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			X	
3:10	Kurivou <sup>13</sup>	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			Jo 2:3	
2 Peter			I		-			1002.0	
3:12	qeou'13	God	301-400	1599	Jehovah			Jo 2:3	
	qcou	Gou	001 100	1077	jenovan			30 2.3	
Jude	17	т Т	301-400	1599	T = 1= = = = 1=			E 10 41	1
5	Kuvrio" <sup>13</sup>	Lord			Jehovah			Ex 12:41	
9	Kuvrio" <sup>13</sup>	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Zc 3:2			
14	Kuvrio" <sup>13</sup>	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Dt 33:2			
Revelat				1.=00	T	T	T	1	
1:8	Kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Is 48:12			
4:8	Kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Is 6:3			
4:11	kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			Gen	
								2:3	
11:17	kuvriexiv	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			Ex	
								6:3	
15:3	kuvrie <sup>14</sup>	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Ps 111:2			
15:4	kuvrie <sup>14</sup>	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah		Jer 10:7		
16:7	kuvrie <sup>14</sup>	Lord	301-400	1838	Jehovah			Ex 6:3	
18:8	Kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			Jer 50:34	
19:6	Kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			-	
19.0	Ruviio	Loru	301-400	1399	Jenovan			Ex	
	1							6:3	
21:22	kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			Ex	
								6:3	
22:5	Kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah	Is 60:19			
22:6	kuvrio"	Lord	301-400	1599	Jehovah			2 Sa 23:2	
			331 100	1077	Jenovan	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	2 3a 23.2	
Totals	K. 222	I 4-222	200.1	1205 1	Inland. 1	T20 (22	00vv	FOVE	/4:::
237		Ld=223	200 to	1385 to	Jehovah	$J^{20} = 423$	20 <sup>xv</sup>	58 <sup>xvi</sup>	61 <sup>xv</sup>
	Q=13	Gd=13	400 C.E.	1979	=237	Othr = 50		64 <sup>xviii</sup>	
Summa	ıry				NWT	Heb. Scri	p. quote	None	•
					237	112		12517	
				L		111	<del>-</del>	123	

- iii Bold type identifies the reference as coming from J<sup>20</sup> wherein the divine name is listed. In all cases, where multiple cross references are possible, preference is given to the citation found in J<sup>20</sup>. For this reason, not all references will correspond to those given in the center reference column of the *New World Translation Reference Edition*. Not all Hebrew Scripture quotations shown in J<sup>20</sup> are cited in the *KIT* footnotes or Appendix 1D in the *NWT Reference Edition*. (For example, see Mark 1:3.)
- iv Refer to footnote 2 for an explanation of capitalization of Greek words. The Greek word qeou' (God) is written with a lower-case *theta* (q). Though not occurring in any of the references cited in this appendix, the upper-case *theta* is written Q.

#### • • 223 • •

- v The noun identified in the English text as Kyrios is shown in this appendix with five spelling variations (kurivou, kuvrio", kurivw/, kuvrie, and kuvrion). In the Greek language, the noun must agree (or be identified) with its function in the sentence. This is achieved by spelling variations in the suffix (ending letters) of the word. Thus, each of the forms of the word Kyrios in this appendix is the same root word in the Greek language, though the spelling is altered according to the grammatical function of the word in the Greek sentence. The same is true of the variations in the spelling of Theos (Qeov" [God]). (See Appendix C for an identification of the function of each of these Greek word forms.)
- vi Refer to footnote number 2 regarding upper- and lower-case first letters.
- vii The "X" indication in this column denotes that the Hebrew verse cited does not support or offer any parallel thought to the *Jehovah* wording. No cross reference is indicated for Greek Scripture citations.
- viii This passage is shown in the Bodmer 14 and 15 (P<sup>75</sup>) manuscripts showing a date of *circa* 200 C.E. The Bodmer 14 and 15 manuscripts record no use of the Tetragrammaton. Thus, the Greek entry of Kyrios as shown was used in approximately 200 C.E. ("All Scripture Is Inspired of God and Beneficial," p. 312, 1983 edition. Also see the Kingdom Interlinear Translation, 1985, p. 15.) The date of 301-400 C.E. used in this appendix reflects the date shown in the footnote of the Kingdom Interlinear Translation which is restricted to Greek manuscripts aAB.
- ix Possessive forms are not indicated in this appendix. In all cases, "Jehovah's" is indicated as "Jehovah." This entry procedure has been followed inasmuch as the English sentence may express the possessive as either "Jehovah's" or "of Jehovah."
- x This passage is shown in both the Bodmer 14 and 15 (P<sup>75</sup>) manuscripts (see footnote 8 above) and the Bodmar 2 (P<sup>66</sup>) manuscript also showing a date of *circa* 200 C.E. The Bodmer 2 manuscript records no use of the Tetragrammaton. Thus, the Greek entry of Kyrios as shown was used in approximately 200 C.E. as attested by multiple ancient Greek manuscripts. ("All Scripture Is Inspired of God and Beneficial," p. 312, 1983 edition. Also see the Kingdom Interlinear Translation, 1985, p. 15.) The date of 301-400 C.E. used in this appendix reflects the date shown in the footnote of the Kingdom Interlinear Translation which is restricted to Greek manuscripts aAB.
- xi See footnote in the New World Translation Reference Edition for this verse.
- xii The suffix ...ato (from the Greek word evphggeivlato) is the third person singular, masculine, past (aorist) tense ending for the Greek verb which is translated "promised" in the text. The verb ending agrees with the subject kurivou in verse 8 which is translated as "Jehovah." Thus, evphggeivlato is translated in verse 12 as "Jehovah promised."
- xiii This passage is shown in the Bodmer 7 and 8 manuscripts (together classified as P<sup>72</sup>) dating from the 3rd century C.E. Bodmer 7 and 8 manuscripts record no use of the Tetragrammaton. Thus, the Greek entry of Kyrios is verified by P<sup>72</sup> as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>i</sup> Early Greek manuscripts do not bear precise dates. The section entitled EXPLANATION OF THE SYMBOLS USED in the foreword of the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* lists the most probable date of each Greek manuscript. (Generally the listing is by century, though in rare cases it is more precise.) To give a more understandable comparison with the adjacent column which precisely dates Hebrew versions, the century designation is given as a date range. That is, the 4th century C.E. is written as 301-400.

ii In keeping with recognized Greek capitalization style, the Westcott and Hort Greek text of the Christian Greek Scriptures uses an upper-case (capital) letter only for a proper noun (a name) and the beginning of a quotation. The capitalization style of the Westcott and Hort text used in the *Kingdom Interlinear Translation* text has been followed in the appendix.

- dating from 201 to 300 C.E. ("All Scripture Is Inspired of God and Beneficial," p. 313.) The date of 301-400 C.E. used in this appendix reflects the date shown in the foreword material of the Kingdom Interlinear Translation.
- xiv This passage is shown in the Chester Beatty 3 (P<sup>47</sup>) manuscript dating from the 3rd century C.E. The Chester Beatty 3 manuscript records no use of the Tetragrammaton. Thus, the Greek entry of Kyrios is verified by P<sup>47</sup> as dating from 201 to 300 C.E. ("All Scripture Is Inspired of God and Beneficial," p. 313.) The date of 301-400 C.E. used in this appendix reflects the date shown in the foreword material of the Kingdom Interlinear Translation.
- xv The total number of Hebrew Scripture quotations appearing in the 237 *Jehovah* references includes inclusively the 42 J<sup>20</sup> citations, the 50 *other* citations, and the 20 references in the following column citing verses which refer to the divine name even though the name is not found in the particular Hebrew Scripture verse *per se.* Thus, 112 is the correct total for this category.
- xvi The total of 58 includes all instances of subject or parallel-thought Jehovah cross references.
- xvii The New World Translation "reinstates the divine name in the Christian Greek Scriptures" on the basis that the Tetragrammaton is found in a verse *quoted* from the Hebrew Scriptures. This is quite different from a criteria which would allow reinstating the divine name on the basis of parallel thought or wording cross references. Thus, when considering only the criteria of verses quoted which employed the divine name, the 64 and 61 of the last two columns can be combined, giving a total of 125 references which use *Jehovah* in the Greek Scriptures of the *New World Translation* without a quotation source in the Hebrew Scriptures.
- xviii The total of 64 includes all instances of *Jehovah* cross references irrespective of the content of that citation, and inclusive of the 58 previously tabulated.
- **Note 1:** The summary totals expressed in footnotes 14 and 15 must be used cautiously. Aside from the J<sup>20</sup> citations which can be counted with certainty, the distinction between such categories as, 1) *Hebrew Scripture quotation using the divine name*, 2) *Hebrew Scripture quotation referring to the divine name*, 3) *Cross reference citation only*, and 4) *No quotation or reference to the Hebrew Scriptures* are difficult to assign with certainty. Consequently, the numbers given in summary of these categories do not represent absolute values. The reader is encouraged to do his own search to determine the appropriateness of the assignment of each of the 237 references to any one of the various tabulated columns.

#### • • 224 • •

**Note 2:** The value of the number 20 in footnote 13 is as significant as the values of the figures 42 and 50 in the previous column. That is, a Greek Scripture writer is able to faithfully attribute a quotation to "Jehovah" when the divine name is contextually understood, even though the Hebrew Scripture source does not actually use the divine name in the verse itself. Thus, the number of times a Hebrew Scripture verse containing the divine name is quoted by a Greek Scripture writer is 112. The division between the two columns was made for interest and precision, but does not represent a difference in importance.